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## Executive Summary

This Traffic Generation Technical Note summarises the methodology used to calculate the traffic generation of the Proposed Development. It includes a summary of the inputs relating to the construction methodology and programme, as well as around routeing of vehicles. This should be read in conjunction with Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-064] and Chapter 32:ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference 6.2.23).
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

1.1.1 This Traffic Generation Technical Note (TGTN) outlines the traffic data generation process for the Rampion 2 (the 'Proposed Development') covering the onshore elements of the Proposed Development including the landfall, onshore cable corridor, temporary construction compounds (TCCs), the onshore substation at Oakendene and the existing National Grid substation extension at Bolney.
1.1.2 This TGTN covers the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning phases of the Proposed Development.
1.1.3 The impacts of traffic are covered separately, in Chapter 23: Transport, Volume $\underline{2}$ of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-064] and in Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32), while measures to mitigate the impacts of construction traffic, construction workforce, operational traffic generation, abnormal loads and on public rights of way are covered respectively in the:

- Outline Operational Travel Plan (OTP) (Document Reference: 7.5);
- Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) (Document Reference: 7.6);
- Outline Construction Workforce Travel Plan (CWTP) (Document Reference: 7.7);
- Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (PRoWMP) (Document Reference: 7.8); and
- Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads Assessment of Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].


### 1.2 Context

1.1.4 This TGTN is an update to the version that was submitted as part of the DCO Application.
1.1.5 The following sections have been updated:

- Section 1 - Introduction:
- Updated to reflect the changes included within this updated TGTN [APP-197].
- Section 2 - Relevant legislation, policy and other information and guidance:
- Updated to reflect new National Planning Policy Framework -and National Policy Statement policy documents.
- Section 3 - Existing Transport Network:
- Local Highway Network updated to include the new highway links that have been assessed in Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32).
- Baseline Traffic flows to reflect the traffic data for the additional receptors used in the ES Addendum (Document Reference: 6.2.32).
- Future Baseline to reflect the traffic data and growth applied to the traffic data for the additional receptors used in Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32).
- Proposed Development:
- Minor textural updates in particular to the core working hours.
- Section 5 - Key Construction Traffic Assumptions:
- New section covering previous Sections 5.2-5.8 of this TGTN.
- Section 6 - Construction traffic generation:
- Outlines the methodology used to assess Peak Week 70, and section-based peaks in Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].
- New information added on the methodology used to assess sensitivity tests, such as the peak at each receptor as assessed in Chapter 32:ES Addendum of the ES(Document Reference: 6.2.32).
- Section 7 - Operation and maintenance traffic generation
- Minor updates to the text in line with Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].
- Section 8 - Decommissioning traffic generation
- Minor updates to the text in line with Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].

Structure of this Appendix:
1.1.11.1.6 The remainder of this Appendix is structured as follows:

- Section 2: Relevant legislation, policy and other information and guidance;
- Section 3: Existing transport network;
- Section 4: Proposed Development;
- Section 5: Key Construction traffic Assumptions;
- Section 6: Construction traffic generation;
- Section 7: Operation and Maintenance traffic generation;
- Section 8: Decommissioning traffic generation;
- Section 9: Glossary of terms and abbreviations; and
- Section 10: References.


## 2. Relevant legislation, policy and other information and guidance

### 2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 This assessment has been undertaken in accordance with relevant transport related planning policy, legislation and guidance at the national, regional and local level.

### 2.2 National planning policy

2.2.1 Table 2-1 lists the national planning policy relevant to this TGTN.

Table 2-1 National planning policy relevant to transport
Policy description

The Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) (DECC, 2011)

Paragraph 5.13 .1 states "The transport of materials, goods and personnel to and from a development during all project phases can have a variety of impacts on the surrounding transport infrastructure and potentially on connecting transport networks, for example through increased congestion. Impacts may include economic, social and environmental effects. Environmental impacts may result particularly from increases in noise and emissions from road transport. Disturbance caused by traffic and abnormal loads generated during the construction phase will depend on the scale and type of the proposal."

Paragraph 5.13 .2 states " The consideration and mitigation of transport impacts is an essential part of Government's wider policy objectives for sustainable development as set out in Section 2.2 of this NPS"

How and where considered in this document

The transport Study Area (shown in Figure 7.6.3 of the CTMP (Document Reference:
7.6) has been established through discussions with the relevant Highway Authorities. The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP)
(Document Reference: 7.6), Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan (PRoWMP) (Document Reference: 7.8), and Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads assessment, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.23.1) are also submitted as part of the DCO Application. These documents deal with other impacts and measures of the Proposed Development with respect to transport.

## Section 23.9 to 23.11 of Chapter 23:

Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064] identifies possible transport impacts and ways to mitigate them. The environmental measures to mitigate these impacts are embedded into the design.

## Policy description

Paragraph 5.13.3 states "If a project is likely to have significant transport implications, the applicant's ES (see Section 4.2) should include a transport assessment, using the NATA/WebTAG methodology stipulated in Department for Transport guidance, or any successor to such methodology. Applicants should consult the Highways Agency and Highways Authorities as appropriate on the assessment and mitigation"

Paragraph 5.13 .4 states "Where appropriate, the applicant should prepare a travel plan including demand management measures to mitigate transport impacts. The applicate should also provide details of proposed measures to improve access by public transport, walking and cycling, to reduce the need for parking associate with the proposal and to mitigate transport impacts."

Paragraph 5.13.5 states "If additional transport infrastructure is proposed, applicants should discuss with network providers the possibility of co-funding by Government for any third-party benefits. Guidance has been issued in England which explains the circumstances where this may be possible, although the Government cannot guarantee in advance that funding will be available for any given uncommitted scheme at any specified time"

Paragraph 5.13.11 states "The IPC [Planning Inspectorate] may attach

How and where considered in this document

This TGTN has been prepared and submitted with the DCO Application in accordance with guidance and best practice and its scope has been discussed with the relevant Highway Authorities including National Highways.<br>Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064] identifies the highway links which may be subject to possible significant transport effects and any- The environmental measures to mitigate these-these transport effects, are set out within the Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.23).

Where appropriate, it is expected that construction worker movements by sustainable means will be facilitated and encouraged. However, it is recognised that the linear nature of the works, the absence of a fixed permanent work site along the Rampion 2 onshore temporary onshore cable corridor and the rural nature of much of the corridor may make it difficult to implement a standard construction travel plan. Many of the accesses are not adjacent to sustainable links such as bus stops and rail lines and the nature of the work means that staff traveling to site need to take equipment and work materials which necessitates the use of vans.

Additional transport infrastructure is limited to the provision of a number of mostly temporary construction accesses along the onshore cable corridor. Accesses will be removed and the land reinstated following completion. The Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6) provides further details on access and is submitted as part of the DCO Application.

Proposed heavy goods vehicle (HGV) routes are identified and restrictions on HGV timing are proposed to avoid adverse effects on sensitive receptors, particularly schools as

Policy description

## requirements to a consent where

 there is likely to be substantial HGV traffic that:- Control numbers of HGV movements to and from the site in a specified period during its construction and possibly on the routing of such movements;
- Make sufficient provision for HGV parking, either on the site or at dedicated facilities elsewhere, to avoid 'overspill' parking on public roads, prolonged queuing on approach roads and uncontrolled on-street HGV parking in normal operating conditions; and
- Ensure satisfactory arrangements for reasonably foreseeable abnormal disruption, in consultation with network providers and the responsible police force"

How and where considered in this document
set out within the Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6). The design of the construction works will avoid the risk of HGV parking on the surrounding highway. The transport of AlLs has been subject to necessary assessment within Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads Assessment, Volume 4 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.4.23.1) and is expected to cause minimal disruption.

Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1, March 2023 (DESNZ, 2023a)

NPS EN-1 (2023) contains the generic requirements for the assessment of impacts arising from traffic associated with design, construction and operation of renewable energy infrastructure. Requirements not previously set out in the NPS EN-1 (2011) are set out below:

Paragraph 5.14.7: The applicant should also provide details of proposed measures to improve access by active, public and shared transport to:
"• reduce the need for parking associated with the proposal; - contribute to decarbonisation of the transport network;

Where appropriate, it is expected that movement by sustainable means will be facilitated and encouraged. Sustainable links such as bus stops and rail lines are discussed in the Outline Construction Workforce Travel Plan (CWTP) [APP-229] and Outline Operational Travel Plan [APP-227]:

## Policy description

How and where considered in this document

- reduce the need to travel; and - secure behavioural change and modal shift through an offer of genuine modal choice and to mitigate transport impacts."

Paragraph 5.14.8: "The assessment should also consider any possible disruption to services and infrastructure (such as road, rail and airports)."

Paragraph 5.14.11: "Where mitigation is needed, possible demand management measures must be considered. This could include identifying opportunities to: - reduce the need to travel by consolidating trips,

- locate development in areas already accessible by active travel and public transport, - provide opportunities for shared mobility, - re-mode by shifting travel to a sustainable mode that is more beneficial to the network, - retime travel outside of the known peak times, - reroute to use parts of the network that are less busy."

Paragraph 5.14.12: "If feasible and operationally reasonable, such mitigation should be required, before considering requirements for the provision of new inland transport infrastructure to deal with remaining transport impacts. All stages of the project should support and encourage a modal shift of freight from road to more environmentally sustainable alternatives, such as rail, cargo bike, maritime and inland

The objectives of the Delivery Management System are to minimise the number of construction vehicles on the road and ensure construction vehicles do not exceed any agreed restrictions, for example peak period traveling through certain towns / villages / junctions. This is included in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-228].

Trip consolidation and other demand management measures will be implemented are discussed in the Outline Construction Workforce Travel Plan (CWTP) [APP-229] and Outline Operational Travel Plan [APP-227].

Trip consolidation, sustainable travel and other demand management measures are discussed in the Outline Construction Workforce Travel Plan (CWTP) [APP-229] and Outline Operational Travel Plan [APP-227]. No new operational road infrastructure is proposed, however accesses

Policy description

## How and where considered in this document

> waterways, as well as making appropriate provision for and infrastructure needed to support the use of alternative fuels including charging for electric vehicles."

Paragraph 5.14.13: "Regard should always be given to the needs of freight at all stages in the construction and operation of the development including the need to provide appropriate facilities for HGV drivers as appropriate."

Paragraph 5.14.16: "Applicants should consider the DfT policy quidance "Water Preferred Policy Guidelines for the movement of abnormal indivisible loads" when preparing their application."
and car parks are proposed as part of the Proposed Development.

> The needs of freight traffic is considered within the Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) [APP-224].

The transport of abnormal indivisible loads (AIL) has been subject to assessment within the Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Load assessment, Volume 4 of the ES [APP-196] and is expected to result in minimal disruption.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, last updated 20 December 20231)

The NPPF is the primary source of national planning guidance in England.

Paragraph 1154 of the NPPF states that "development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe."

Whilst the NPPF is not directly applicable to Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs), it provides context to the TGTN as well as Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064]_(Document Reference: 6.2.23).

Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.23), sets out the results of the assessment of traffic effects including highways safety (including accident assessment).

| Policy description | How and where considered in this document |
| :---: | :---: |
| Paragraph $11 \underline{7} 3$ of the NPPF states that "all developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed." | This TGTN has been developed with reference to the criteria in Paragraph 113, the opportunities for sustainable transport, access and road safety, and the need for any transport improvements. |
| The document sets out that the Transport Statement (TS)/TA should account for: <br> - the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure; <br> - safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people; and <br> - improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. Development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development. | For understanding transport impacts, this TGTN is supported by the Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6), Outline CWTP (Document Reference: 7.7), Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference: 7.8) and Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads assessment of the ES_-_(Document Reference: 6.4.23.1). |

Paragraph $11 \underline{73}$ of the NPPF states that "all developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed."

The document sets out that the Transport Statement (TS)/TA should account for:
the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport
safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people; and within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. Development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development.

How and where considered in this document

This TGTN has been developed with reference to the criteria in Paragraph 113, the opportunities for sustainable transport, access and road safety, and the need for any transport improvements.

For understanding transport impacts, this
TGTN is supported by the Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6), Outline CWTP (Document Reference: 7.7), Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference: 7.8) and Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads assessment of the ES_-_(Document Reference: 6.4.23.1).
2.2.2 Table 2-2 lists the local planning policy relevant to the assessment of the effects on transport receptors.

Table 2-2 Local transport / planning policy relevant to transport
Relevance to assessment
West Sussex Transport Plan 2022-36 (West Sussex County Council, 2022)
4.23-4.25 and 6.4: Walking. The policy aims to maintain the existing pedestrian provision in West

The construction of the onshore cable has the potential to temporarily affect the Public Right of Way (PRoW) infrastructure in West Sussex.

## Policy description

Sussex, including PRoW provision, and, where possible provide new infrastructure to create new connections and routes for pedestrians particularly for leisure. More information is also included on PRoWs in the WSCC Rights of Way Management Plan 2018-2028.
4.26-4.29 and 6.4: Cycling. This policy aims to protect the existing cycling provision and promote cycling as a form of sustainable transport. It also identifies a requirement to construct and improve cycling infrastructure to connect local cycle networks in line with the LCWIPs.
4.33-4.39 and 6.12: Shared Transport Services. This policy proposes a range of measures to promote and improve public transport in West Sussex. Measures include the maintenance of public transport to a good standard and the provision of new infrastructure such as bus lanes- to improve the existing provision where this is possible.
4.64-4.66 and 6.25: Freight. This policy sets out the measures which are to be used to manage the movement of freight during the plan period. The policy identifies measures to be used, including a lorry route network around West Sussex and investment into major infrastructure improvements on the A27.

## Relevance to assessment

The Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference: 7.8) outlines all PRoW effects and environmental measures proposed.

The construction of the onshore cable has the potential to temporarily affect local and nationally strategic cycle routes in West Sussex. A review of the local cycle routes has been undertaken within this document in Section 3 and sets out that impacts on National Cycle Network (NCN) routes will not be significant.

The construction of the onshore cable has the potential to temporarily affect local bus routes in West Sussex. A review of local bus routes is included in Section 3.

The policy and HGV route network have been considered when identifying construction HGV routes associated with the Proposed Development set out in Section 4. The HGV access strategy avoids Findon Valley, a key route restriction within the Freight Movement and Management Plan.

Draft Horsham District Local Plan 2019-2036 (Horsham District Local Council, 2019)

Strategic policy 41 - Infrastructure Provision. This policy states that

Consideration of transport effects and requirements for environmental measures.

## Policy description

development will only be supported if local infrastructure has adequate capacity to support the development. Suitable mitigation should be proposed where local infrastructure does not have the capacity to accommodate development.

Strategic policy 42 - Sustainable Transport. This policy sets out the conditions in which development will be supported for sustainable transport. The policy states "development will be supported if it:

Provides safe and suitable access for all vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists, horse riders, public transport and the delivery of goods. Minimises the distance people need to travel and minimises conflicts between traffic, cyclists and pedestrians.
Prioritises and provides safe and accessible walking and cycling routes and is integrated with the wider network of routes, including public rights of way and cycle paths.
Includes opportunities for sustainable transport which reduce the need for major infrastructure and cut carbon emissions. Develops innovative and adaptable approaches to public transport in the rural areas of the District. Maintains and improves the existing transport system (pedestrian, cycle, rail and road).
Is accompanied by an agreed Green Travel Plan where it is necessary to minimise a potentially significant impact of the development of the wider area, or as a result of needing to address an existing local traffic problem."

## Relevance to assessment

Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.23) sets out the results of the assessment of the traffic effects on local and strategic highways links.

The construction of the onshore cable has the potential to temporarily affect PRoW, local bus routes and cycle routes in Horsham.

The Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference:
7.8) outlines all PRoW effects and environmental measures proposed.

A review of the local cycle routes has been undertaken within the Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.23) which sets out the potential effects on NCN routes.

A review of local bus routes has been undertaken within the Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES-_(Document Reference: 6.2.23) which sets out the potential effects on bus routes.

## Policy description

## Relevance to assessment

Mid Sussex District Plan 2014-2031 (Mid Sussex District Council, 2018)

DP20: Securing Infrastructure. This policy requires development to be provided with necessary infrastructure such as efficient and sustainable transport networks.

DP21: Transport. This policy requires developments, depending on their size or impact to prepare a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment to be submitted with the planning application. The policy also requires submission of a travel plan statement or full travel plan alongside the transport statement or transport assessment which will be submitted with the planning application.

DP22: Rights of Way and other Recreational Routes. This policy aims to protect existing rights of way, cycle and recreational routes from any adverse effects that might come from development. It also states that where a route is likely to be affected an alternative must be provided which is equivalent in value to the route affected.

Additional transport infrastructure is limited to the provision of a number of mostly temporary construction accesses along the Rampion 2 onshore temporary cable corridor. Accesses will be removed where appropriate and where agreed with landowners and the land reinstated when the Rampion 2 onshore cable construction is finished. Where accesses are not removed, they will remain in-situ, for example, the access to the onshore landfall site and onshore substation. The Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6) outlines further details on access.

This TGTN is provided as part of the DCO Application. The assessment presented is a link based environmental assessment and is supported by the Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6), Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference: 7.8) and Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Load assessment of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.23.1). Outline documents were presented at PEIR stage and further discussions with relevant highways authority have been undertaken to define if there is a significant effect or not.

The protection of PRoWs, including recreational routes and National Trails has been included within the Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference: 7.8).

Worthing Local Plan 2020 - 2036 (Worthing Borough Council, 2023)

DM15 Sustainable Transport and Active Travel. The policy sets out that Worthing Borough Council will support development which encourages use of public and sustainable transport and reduces

Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.23) and the Outline CTMP (Document Reference: 7.6) outline the proposed HGV access strategy and environmental measures and routes that have been applied to mitigate impacts of the

| Policy description | Relevance to assessment |
| :--- | :--- |
| the number of car journeys. Where | construction phase of the Proposed |
| development is likely to generate | Development. |
| demand for travel or have other |  |
| implications it is required to be |  |
| supported by a Transport Statement |  |
| or Assessment and a sustainable |  |
| travel plan. The policy further states |  |
| that it will "support measures that |  |
| promote improved accessibility, |  |
| create safer roads, reduce the |  |
| environmental impact of traffic |  |
| movements, enhance the pedestrian |  |
| environment, or facilitate highway |  |
| improvements". In particular |  |
| reference is made to managing the |  |
| impact of HGV movements and |  |
| implementing measures where this |  |
| may be appropriate. |  |

West Sussex Walking and Cycling 2016-2026 (West Sussex County Council, 2020a)

The West Sussex Walking and Cycling strategy is a document that sets out the aims and objectives for walking and cycling during the strategy period (2016-2026) and sets out guidance and information for developers.

The Outline PRoWMP (Document Reference:
7.8), outlines all PRoW impacts and environmental measures proposed.

### 2.3 Other relevant information and guidance

## The Strategic Road Network and the Delivery of Sustainable Development Guidance

2.3.1 The Department for Transport (DfT) (2022) Circular 012/2022 'The Strategic Road Network and the Delivery of Sustainable Development' outlines the methods in which the National Highways (NH) (formally National Highways) will engage with developers and communities to deliver sustainable development and consequently economic growth, whilst safeguarding the primary function and purpose of the Strategic Road Network (SRN).

Paragraph 55 outlines under 'Environmental assessments':
"The company will engage in the relevant screening or scoping process where a potential impact on the SRN is identified. Environmental assessments must be comprehensive enough to establish the likely impacts on air quality, light pollution and noise arising from traffic generated by a development, along with the impacts
from any proposed works to the SRN and identify measures to mitigate these impacts. Requirements and advice for undertaking environmental assessments in respect of transport impacts can be found in the DMRB."
Paragraph 65 to 69 outlines access requirements relating to on-shore wind turbines:
"The promoter of a wind turbine development must identify any impacts on the operation of the SRN from the construction, operation and de-commissioning stages and identify measures to mitigate these impacts. Swept path analyses must be provided for any abnormal load deliveries to the site via the SRN.
Access to the site for construction, maintenance and de-commissioning should be obtained from the local road network. A direct connection to the SRN will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances."

Within the transport Study Area (outlined in Figure 7.6.3 in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) (Document Reference: 7.6), the SRN managed by NH includes the A27 and A23. The requirements of Circular 02/1/2022 are therefore addressed further within this TGTN.
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## 3. Existing Transport Network

### 3.1 Study Area 1 - onshore

3.1.1 This Section provides a description of the baseline conditions of the local and strategic roads which are proposed to be used for access to the onshore elements of the Proposed Development as well as the local PRoW, cycle routes and sustainable travel routes. Figure 23.1, Volume 3 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.3.23) identifies the roads that have been included in this section.

Table 3-1 sets out a high-level review of the Main "A" and "B" Roads included within Study Area 1 and more details on these are presented in the following section.

Table 3-1 A/B roads within Study Area 1
Type of road Road name

A Roads
A259, A284, A27, A24, A284, A283, A281, A272, A23
B Roads
B2139, B2135, B2116, B2118

## Strategic Road Network

A23
3.1.3 The A23 routes from the M23 south of Crawley to the A27 on the northern periphery of Brighton. For much of its length, the A23 is a dual carriageway subject to the national speed limit ${ }^{1}$. Within Study Area 1, the A23 has junctions with two major roads, the A272 and the A27 as follows:

- the junction with the A272 is located east of Bolney and comprises grade separated roundabout junctions located either side of the A23 alignment which connect to the A23 with on/off slips; and
- the junction with the A27 is located on the northern periphery of Brighton and comprises a grade separated bell junction with on/off slips which connects to a separate roundabout junction with the A23.
3.1.4 The section of the A27 that is managed by National Highways (NH) routes between Pevensey in East Sussex to Cosham, Portsmouth where the A27 becomes the M27. The A27 connects numerous coastal towns along the south coast as well as connecting the cities of Portsmouth and Brighton. Road design

[^0]standards vary along the A27, however, for most of its length the A27 is a dual carriageway subject to the national speed limit.

## Local Highway Network

3.1.5 The A24 routes between Worthing on the south coast and London, and routes via towns including Horsham and Leatherhead. Within Study Area 1, the A24 routes through both urban and rural settings. In rural areas the A24 is typically a dual carriageway and is subject to the national speed limit. In urban areas the A24 routes through both residential and commercial areas, and numerous residential and commercial properties front onto the road and there are a number of pedestrian crossing points.
3.1.6 Within Study Area 1, the A272 routes east/west between the A24 and the A23. The A272 intersects the A24 via a staggered crossroad and junctions with the A23 are via two grade separated roundabouts which connect to the A23 by on/off slips. The A272 is a predominantly a single carriageway rural road throughout Study Area 1. The speed limit varies between national speed limit ( 60 mph for cars and motorcycles) and 50 mph depending on local constraints. A section of the A272 through Cowfold is subject to a 30 mph speed limit as the road passes through a village setting. Pedestrian footways are provided, and residential properties front onto the A272 throughout Cowfold.

## A2037

3.1.7 The A2037 routes north / south between the A283 and the A281. The road intersects with the A281 at a roundabout in the south of Henfield. The A2037 is a predominantly single lane, two-way carriageway rural through road and is subject to the national speed limit. It intersects with the A283 at a roundabout, south of Steyning. The road has limited pedestrian footways including through Henfield and Oreham Common, where some residential properties front onto the road. There is very limited pedestrian crossing points.

## A280 Long Furlong

3.1.8 The A280 Long Furlong provides a connection between the A24 at Findon and the A27 south of the village of Clapham. The A280 is a single carriageway road which is predominantly subject to the national speed limit through a rural setting. A small section of the A280 through Clapham Village is subject to a 40 mph speed limit ${ }_{1}$ and a signal controlled crossing is provided adjacent to the local primary school.

A281
3.1.9 The A281 routes between Guildford and the A23 north of Brighton, the road connects multiple towns and villages along its routes including Horsham and Cowfold. Due to the length of the A281 and the numerous settlements that it
passes through the road conditions vary throughout. The A281 within Study Area 1 includes a section from Cowfold via Shermanbury to the A281 junction with the B2116. The A281 through this section is a single carriageway road where the speed limit and other conditions vary depending on location.

Through Cowfold the A281 routes through the centre of the village where commercial properties front onto the road. Pedestrian footways are located on either side of the carriageway within Cowfold centre and on at least one side of the carriageway through the rest of the village. The road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit. The A281 connects with the A272 at two mini roundabout junctions within Cowfold centre and a signal controlled pedestrian crossing is provided.

The section of the A281 from Henfield to the junction with the B2117 routes through the small village of Woodmancote. The A281 is 30mph though Henfield, and then 40 mph past Woodmancote before changing to a national speed for the rest of the route.

A283
3.1.14

The A283 provides a connection between the A24 at Washington, West Sussex and the A27 at Shoreham-by-Sea. The A283 is a single carriageway which is subject to 50 mph and national speed limits at various points along its route. The A283 passes predominantly through rural areas. In Steyning, the A283 is located largely in a cutting with local roads on overbridges. The A283 joins with the A27 via a grade separated roundabout and on-off slips.
3.1.15 The A284 routes between Littlehampton and the A29 west of the village of Houghton. The A281 exists in two sections, from Littlehampton to a junction with the A27 at Crossbush and from a junction with the A27 in Arundel to the A29.
3.1.16 The section of the A284 from the A259 in Littlehampton to the A27 at Crossbush is within Study Area 1. This section of the A284 passes through the village of Lyminster and the residential suburb of Wick. In Wick, the A284 routes through a residential area where streetlighting and footways are provided ${ }_{2}$ and residential properties and driveways front onto the A284. The road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit.
Between Cowfold and Shermanbury the A281 is rural in nature, no pedestrian infrastructure is provided, and the national speed limit for a single carriageway.

In Lyminster Village, the road is subject to a 30mph speed limit. Pedestrian footways exist throughout the village on at least one side of the carriageway and a small number of residential properties/driveways front onto the A284. North of Lyminster Village, the speed limit increases to $40 \mathrm{mph}_{2}$ and a pedestrian footway continues on the western side of the carriageway.

The construction of the Lyminster Bypass, which is expected to be officially designated as the A284 upon completion, will be a significant development in the road infrastructure. The Lyminster Bypass will serve to reduce the volume of traffic passing through Lyminster and reduce the impacts on local receptors arising as a result.

The A259 routes along the south coast of England between Havant in Hampshire and Folkestone in Kent. Within Study Area 1, the A259 travels between a roundabout junction with Ford Lane at Climping to a roundabout junction with the B2187 at Toddington.

Between Climping and the junction with the B2187 at Littlehampton (Bridge Road roundabout), the road is a single carriageway which is subject to a 40 mph speed limit west of the Ferry Road junction and the national speed limit east of the junction. A shared footway/cycleway is provided on the northern side of the carriageway.

Between Bridge Road roundabout and the junction with the A284 (Wick roundabout), the road is subject to the national speed limit and a shared cycleway/footway exists on the northern side of the carriageway between the signal controlled junction with Benjamin Grays Drive and the priority junction with New Courtwick Lane. Between Wick roundabout and the roundabout junction with the B2187 at Toddington the speed limit is reduced to $40 \mathrm{mph}_{2}$ and pedestrian footways exist on both sides of the carriageway. Residential properties front onto the carriageway through this section.

B2116
The B2116 routes between the A281 north of Henfield to the B2118 at Aldbourne. The B2116 is a single carriageway which predominantly passes through a rural area. The speed limit varies between $30 \mathrm{mph}, 40 \mathrm{mph}$ and the national speed limit along the B2118 depending on local constraints. Throughout Aldbourne, the road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit and pedestrian footways are provided and residential properties/driveways front onto the road.

## B2117

3.1.23 The B2117 is a single carriageway road which passes between the A281 and Hurstpierpoint village. Within the short section of the road included in Study Area 1, the road joins the B2118 at a priority junction and the A23 at two priority junctions which serve as southbound on and northbound off slips to the grade separated A23. The B2117 is rural in nature between these junctions and is subject to the national speed limit.

B2118
3.1 .24

The B2118 routes between the B2117 at Muddleswood and the A23 north of Sayers Common. The B2118 is a single carriageway and is subject to the national speed limit for much of its route.
3.1.25 Through the village of Aldbourne, the road is subject to a 40 mph speed limit. A pedestrian footway is provided on the eastern side of the carriageway and residential properties/driveways front onto the road.
3.1.26 Through the village of Sayers Common, the B2118 is subject to a 30mph speed limit and pedestrian footways are provided on both sides of the carriageway. Residential properties/driveways front onto the B2118 and there is a miniroundabout junction with Reeds Lane in the centre of the village.

B2135
3.1.27 The B2135 is a rural B-road serving the village of Partridge Green in Sussex. It is subject to the national speed limit for most of its route. It starts on the A283 at the northern end of the Steyning bypass. It heads north and, although quite wide the route is windy before straightening out, before entering the small village of Ashurst. Through the village of Ashurst the road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit. The road then heads into Partridge Green (also 30mph speed limit) where it meets the B2116, and continues through the village, after which it turns north-west towards the A24.

## B2139

3.1 .28

The B2139 is a rural B-road which runs through Houghton, Amberly and Storrington in West Sussex. Much of the route is subject to the national speed limit, although Houghton and Storrington have a 30mph limit and Amberly is subject to a 40 mph speed limit. The B2139 begins at Whiteways Lodge Roundabout with the A29 and the A284, and then runs northeast until Storrington, where it meets Pulborough Road (A283). The road is crossed by no other major roads, only residential streets when routing through villages. It is also the only access to Amberly Train Station.

## Ferry Road

3.1.29 Ferry Road is a single carriageway road which routes between the A259 and the River Arun in Littlehampton. From a priority junction with the A259, the road routes through a rural area where a national speed limit applies, and narrow pedestrian footway is provided on the northern side. The road provides access to the Marina, Mobile Home Park and some other industrial buildings. Ferry Road is also the National Cycle Network Route 2 (NCN 2) providing access to Littlehampton station via the Littlehampton Harbour Bridge.

## Ford Road

3.1.30 Ford Road is a single carriageway road which routes between the A27 in Arundel and Church Lane in Climping. From a roundabout junction with the A27 to the edge of Arundel, the road passes through a residential area where a 30mph speed limit applies and pedestrian footways are provided. South of Arundel the road is rural in nature and the national speed limit applies. Adjacent to Ford station, Ford Road crosses a railway line at a level crossing. North of the level crossing a 40 mph speed limit is applied to Ford Road which continues for its remaining route to Church Lane in Climping. Between Ford Station and Climping a pedestrian footway exists on the western side of the carriageway.

## Church Lane

3.1.31 Church Lane is a single carriageway road which routes between Ford Road in Climping to a roundabout junction with the A259 south of Climping. The road is subject to a 40 mph speed limit ${ }_{1}$ and a pedestrian footway is provided on the eastern side of the carriageway. A small number of residential properties front onto Church Lane in Climping.

## Water Lane

3.1.32 Water Lane is single carriageway rural road which routes between the A283 and Hole Street in Winston. Water Lane is subject to the national speed limit between the junction with the A283 and the periphery of Winston Village. In Winston Village, Water Lane is subject to a 40 mph speed limit ${ }_{2}$ and a pedestrian footway is provided on the western side of the carriageway.

## Kent Street

3.1.33 Kent Street is a single carriageway rural road which passes between the A272 and Wineham Lane and is subject to the national speed limit. There are no pedestrian footways on this rural road.

## Wineham Lane

3.1.34 Wineham Lane is a single carriageway rural road which connects the village of Wineham to the A272 to the north and the B2116 to the south. Wineham Lane is subject to the national speed limit for all sections outside Wineham. Throughout Wineham, it is subject to a 40 mph speed limit and residential/rural properties and driveways front onto the road.

## Michelgrove Lane

Michelgrove Lane is a single carriageway rural road which provides access to a few residential properties. Michelgrove Lane is subject to the national speed limit, albeit this would be difficult to achieve given the nature of the road.

## Baseline traffic flows

3.1.36 It has been agreed with WSCC highways officers that baseline traffic flows can be derived from existing traffic counts, most of which are taken from either permanent count locations maintained by WSCC/DfT or one-off counts within the WSCC (2020b) online traffic count database. For most locations, this has resulted in the use of data from 2019.
3.1.37 Following this approach resulted in a lack of traffic data for one specific location (Ferry Road - Highways Link 1) and also included data from pre-2010 for This approach resulted in one location, Ferry Road (Highways Link 1), not having any traffic data to use to determine baseline conditions. There are also four other locations - Crossbush Lane (Highways Link 10), A280 Long Furlong (Highways Link 15), A283 East of Washington (Highways Link 17) and B2188 Sayers Common (Highways Link 29) --using data that was from pre-2010The absence of current traffic data for these locations made it difficult to determine the baseline
conditions. Therefore. It was stated at PEIR stage that, for the five location locations where no data or older data was used, the assessment waswould be informed by new traffic counts undertakendone for the DCO Application. New traffic counts were undertaken in mid-2022 at these five locations and as such were available for use in this TGTN.

In locations where the available traffic data is older than 2022, it was agreed with WSCC that no additional traffic counts were required to be undertaken as the counts from 2017-2019 were considered to still be representative, given the low level of additional traffic forecast in comparison to existing flows on the roads as indicated by the previous counts.

In agreement with WSCC, growth rates have been derived from the DfT's TEMPro 7.2. Growth rates for HGVs have been derived from the DfT (2020) National Traffic Statistics. A base year of 2021 has been used to growth up the available data for the baseline traffic counts.

Growth rates from TEMPro have been based on two areas: Arun for the south west sections (code, E41000245) and Horsham for the remainder of Study Area 1 (code E41000248).

The TEMPro growth rates are as follows:

- 2012 - 2021 - Arun - 1.1387 - Horsham - 1.1468;
- 2013-2021 - Arun - 1.1232 - Horsham - 1.1292;
- 2017-2021 - Arun - 1.0607 - Horsham - 1.0608;
- 2018-2021 - Arun - 1.0454 - Horsham - 1.0454; and
- 2019-2021 - Arun - 1.0305 - Horsham - 1.0306.

HGV growth has been based on the DfT (2021) publication 'TRA2501c - Road traffic (vehicle miles) by vehicle type in Great Britain'. Table TRA2501c presents national data of the yearly change in vehicle traffic for total vehicles, car, light commercial vehicles and HGVs.

Based on Table TRA2501c, annual growth factors for HGVs have been derived as follows:

- the changes in HGV traffic flows between 2019 (last reliable year of data due to the COVID-19 pandemic) and the base year of 2021 has been calculated;
- the growth factor from 2018 to 2019 was $0.38 \%$;
- estimated growth between 2019 and 2021 is assumed as $0.38 \%$ per annum, or $0.76 \%$ over the two years; and
- the growth for 2019 - 2021 ( $0.76 \%$ has been added to the growth from the historic count year to 2019 to provide for a growth from historic count year to 2021).

It should be noted the traffic count for A283 (East of A24) is dated 2005. As TEMPro does not extend as far back as 2005, an alternative method based on the DfT statistics has been used as discussed and agreed with WSCC.
3.1.45 The calculations above presented the following growth rates for HGVs:

- 2005-2021-0.9755;
- 2012-2021-1.0778;
- 2013-2021-1.10180;
- 2017-2021-1.0270;
- 2018-2021-1.0160; and
- 2019-2021-1.00750.
3.1.46 For locations where total vehicle traffic data was extracted from existing counts, but where there were no HGV breakdowns in these counts, an HGV percentage was required to develop an HGV traffic flow at these locations. There were three locations where this was required;
- Highways Link 10 - Crossbush Lane;
- Highways Link 17 - A283 East of A24; and
- Highways Link 29 - B2188, Sayers Common.

At all three of these locations, the historic traffic data only present a breakdown of total vehicles. To estimate a likely HGV percentage on these highways links, reference has been made to adjacent historic traffic counts as follows:

- Highways Link 10 - Crossbush Lane - 2\% HGVs based on Highways Link 8 data;
- Highways Link 17 - A283 East of A24-3.4\% HGVs based on Highways Link 16 data; and
- Highways Link 29 - B2188, Sayers Common - 4.7\% HGVs based on Highways Link 32 data.

Table 3-2 sets out the average annual weekday flow (AADF) for the date of survey and the baseline (2021). Figure 32.2 of Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32) shows the location of each of these links in relation to their identified receptor.

Table 3-2 2021 baseline traffic data (AADF) - Study Area 1

| Highways | Historic Traffic Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Link | 2021 Base |


|  | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | Year of <br> Data | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | HGV\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1925 | 314 | 2022 | 1925 | 314 | $16.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 9859 | 1106 | 2019 | 10458 | 1135 | $10.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 6025 | 253 | 2019 | 6209 | 255 | $4.1 \%$ |


| Highways <br> Link | Historic Traffic Data |  |  | 2021 Base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | Year of <br> Data | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | HGV\% |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 23618 | 1302 | 2019 | 24338 | 1312 | $5.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 22400 | 857 | 2019 | 23083 | 863 | $3.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 13248 | 551 | 2019 | 13652 | 555 | $4.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 13546 | 692 | 2018 | 13959 | 698 | $5.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 -}_{-}^{*}$ | 619 | 12 | 2019 | 647 | 12 | $1.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 32734 | 1613 | 2013 | 33732 | 1625 | $4.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 736 | 15 | 2019 | 827 | 16 | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 31936 | 1757 | 2019 | 32910 | 1770 | $5.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 22776 | 923 | 2019 | 23473 | 930 | $4.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 30777 | 1012 | 2018 | 31719 | 1020 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 25731 | 627 | 2017 | 26899 | 637 | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 16300 | 949 | 2019 | 17291 | 975 | $5.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 2047 | 18580 | 3653 | 2022 | 18580 | 3653 |


| Highways <br> Link | Historic Traffic Data |  |  | 2021 Base |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | Year of <br> Data | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | HGV\% |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 6081 | 141 | 2019 | 6267 | 142 | $2.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 22389 | 991 | 2019 | 23074 | 998 | $4.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 16904 | 745 | 2019 | 17421 | 751 | $4.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 853 | 16 | 2019 | 879 | 16 | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 16889 | 724 | 2019 | 17406 | 729 | $4.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 71894 | 4024 | 2012 | 74094 | 4054 | $5.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 6227 | 293 | 2019 | 7141 | 315 | $4.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 7356 | 1497 | 2022 | 7356 | 1497 | $20.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 3147 | 149 | 2019 | 3243 | 150 | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 78611 | 3118 | 2019 | 81016 | 3141 | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 65068 | 2421 | 2019 | 67059 | 2439 | $3.6 \%$ |

*Highway Link 8 and 10 will not be used by construction traffic associated with the Proposed Development.
3.1.19- These traffic counts were undertaken in mid-2022 and as such were available for use in the PEIR SIR assessment and therefore, for completeness, this data has been included in the assessment in this TGTN. It should be noted however, that the Automatic Traffic Counts (ATCs) undertaken at Highways Link 10, Crossbush Lane was not required in this assessment. This is because this link does not receive any Proposed Development traffic as a result of the revised Maximum Design Scenario (outlined in Section 4)
3.1.503.1.49 For locations where total vehicle data was extracted from existing counts undertaken in 2022, it has been assumed that traffic levels have remained unchanged from 2021. The growth rate between 2021 and 2022 is negligible, and in 2021 traffic flows were also still being affected by COVID-19 pandemic.
Consequently the 2022 survey results for the highway links in Table 3-3 were also assumed to be a reasonable proxy for 2021 baseline in Table 3-2.
3.1.543.1.50 Table 3-3 sets out the Annual Average Traffic Flows (AATF) for the locations that were surveyed in 2022 since the original PEIR was published.

Table 3-3 Baseline data - 2022 Traffic survey

| Highways Link | Light Vehicles <br> (LVs) | Heavy Goods <br> Vehicles (HGVs) | Total Vehicles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Ferry Road | 1611 | 314 | 1925 |
| 15 - A280 Long <br> Furlong | 14927 | 3653 | 18580 |
| 17 - A283 East of <br> the A24 | 9104 | 2326 | 11430 |
| 29 - B2188 Sayers <br> Common | 5859 | 1497 | 7356 |

3.1.51 The following baseline traffic flows have been included in Table 3-4 below for the new receptor locations. Figure 32.2 of Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32) shows the location of each of these links in relation to their identified receptor.

Table 3-4_ Baseline traffic data for the new receptors (AADF)

| Highways Link | Historical Traffic Data |  |  | 2021 Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Year of Data | Total Vehicles | HGVs | \% HGVs |
| $\underline{\text { A }} \frac{\frac{\text { B2139, }}{\text { Coolham }}}{\underline{\text { Road }}}$ | 8918 | 422 | $\underline{2012}$ | 10227 | 454 | 4.4\% |
| A272, West Chiltington Lane, <br> B Pound Lane, Shipley Road | 8918 | 422 | $\underline{2012}$ | 10227 | 454 | 4.4\% |
| $\text { C } \frac{\text { A272. }}{\text { Cowfold }}$ | 16904 | $\underline{745}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | 17421 | 751 | 4.3\% |
| B2135, <br> D Steyning Road, East | 4525 | $\underline{20}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | 4800 | $\underline{21}$ | 0.4\% |


| Highways Link | Historical Traffic Data |  |  | 2021 Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Year of <br> Data | Total Vehicles | HGVs | \% HGVs |
| of Park <br> Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A272. <br> Bolney Road, East <br> E of A281, North of Oakfield Road | 19786 | 668 | $\underline{2023}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| A272, Cowfold <br> F Road West of the A23 | 16889 | 724 | $\underline{2019}$ | 17406 | 729 | 4.2\% |
| $\text { G } \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text { A281, North } \\ \frac{\text { of }}{\text { Woodside }} \\ \underline{\text { Close }} \end{array}}{\underline{\text { Wen }}}$ | 6081 | 141 | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{6267}$ | 142 | 2.3\% |
| $\frac{B 2135 \text { / }}{\text { B2116 High }}$ <br> H Street, Partridge Green | $\underline{6374}$ | 362 | $\underline{2019}$ | 6569 | 364 | 5.5\% |
| A281. <br> Brighton Road, North of Partridge Green Road | $\underline{7739}$ | 341 | $\underline{2018}$ | 8090 | 346 | 4.3\% |
| $\underline{\mathbf{J}} \frac{\text { Wineham }}{\text { Lane }}$ | 853 | 16 | $\underline{2019}$ | 879 | 16 | 1.8\% |
| $\underline{K} \frac{\frac{\text { B2118 }}{\text { East of }}}{\underline{\text { B2116 }}}$ | 3147 | 149 | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{3243}$ | 150 | 4.6\% |


| Highways Link | Historical Traffic Data |  |  | 2021 Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Year of <br> Data | Total Vehicles | HGVs | \% HGVs |
| Henfield Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2135, <br> North of <br> L Spithandle Lane | 3444 | 105 | $\underline{2019}$ | 3550 | 106 | 3.0\% |
| $\underline{\mathbf{M}} \frac{\frac{\text { A281, High }}{\text { Steet, }}}{\underline{\text { Henfield }}}$ | $\underline{7739}$ | 341 | $\underline{2018}$ | 8090 | 346 | 4.3\% |
| N $\frac{\frac{\text { A281, }}{\text { Brighton }}}{\underline{\text { Road }}}$ | $\underline{4963}$ | 72 | $\underline{2023}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | $\underline{21977}$ | 750 | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{22649}$ | 755 | 3.3\% |
| P Michelgrove Lane* | 100 | $1 \theta$ | N/AO | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Q $\frac{\frac{\text { A284, }}{\text { Lyminster }}}{\text { Road }}$ | 13546 | 692 | $\underline{2019}$ | 13959 | 698 | 5.0\% |
| Church <br> R Lane, North of the A259 | $\underline{9859}$ | 1106 | $\underline{2017}$ | 10458 | 1135 | 10.9\% |
| Ford Road, <br> S Station Road | $\underline{6025}$ | $\underline{253}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | 6209 | $\underline{255}$ | 4.1\% |
| I Ford Road | 6025 | $\underline{253}$ | $\underline{2019}$ | $\underline{6209}$ | $\underline{255}$ | 4.1\% |


| Highways Link | Historical Traffic Data |  |  | 2021 Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Year of Data | Total Vehicles | HGVs | \% HGVs |
| $\underline{\mathrm{U}} \frac{\text { Kent }}{\underline{\text { Street }}}$ | 100 | 10 | N/AO | N/A | N/A | N/A |

*Traffic flows on Michelgrove Lane (receptor P) and Kent Street (receptor U) have been estimated from on-site observations due to traffic survey data being unavailable.

### 3.2 Study Area 2 - onshore impacts of offshore works

This section provides a description of the baseline conditions of the local and strategic roads which are proposed to be used for access to the onshore elements of the offshore operation and maintenance phase (access to Port of Newhaven) of the Proposed Development. Figure 23.6, Volume 3 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.3.23) identifies the roads that have been included in this section.
3.2.2 Table 3-5 sets out a high-level review of the main "A" and "B" Roads included within Study Area 2 and more details on these are presented in the following section.

Table 3-5 A/B Roads within Study Area 2

| Type of Road | Road Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| A Roads | A27, A26, A259 |
| B Roads | B2109 |

## Strategic Road Network

A27
3.2.3 The section of the A27 that is managed by National Highways (NH) routes between Pevensey in East Sussex to Cosham, Portsmouth where the A27 becomes the M27. The A27 connects numerous coastal towns along the south coast as well as connecting the cities of Portsmouth and Brighton. Road design standards vary along the A27, however, for most of its length the A27 is a dual carriageway subject to the national speed limit. Within Study Area 2, the junction with the A26 is located at Beddingham.

## Local Road-Highway Network

## Beach Road / Clifton Road / Railway Road

3.2.4 Beach Road / Clifton Road / Railway Road is a two lane single carriageway urban road which connects the East Quay of Newhaven Port to the A26/B2109. The road is subject to a 30 mph speed limit. In the southern section (Beach Road) the route is industrial in nature but passes through residential areas on the Clifton Road and Railway Road section. The route has footways on both sides and is provided with streetlights.

B2109
3.2.5 The B2109 is a two-lane single carriageway that runs from the A26 south to join the A259, then parallel to the A259, and then south from the A259 west of the overpass near Newhaven Town rail station. In Study Area 2, the B2109 runs between the two junctions at either side of the A259 overpass and is provided with footways, pedestrian crossings (under signal control) and streetlights. The B2109 is subject to a 30 mph speed limit. The B2109 also has an at grade signalled rail level crossing to the west of the junction with Railway Road.

## A259

3.2.6 The A259 routes along the south coast of England between Havant in Hampshire and Folkestone in Kent. Within Study Area 2, the A259 routes Newhaven Town Centre and a junction with McKinley Way.
3.2.7 In Study Area 2, the A259 is a two lane single carriageway which for the most part is a flyover between McKinley Way and Newhaven Town Centre. The A259 is subject to a 30 mph speed limit and has footways either side of road apart from the flyover section. The A259 also has streetlights and west of the flyover section has a signal-controlled crossing of the River Ouse to accommodate the swing bridge operation.
3.2.8 The A26 is a two lane single carriageway in Study Area 2 that links Newhaven to Kent and is a primary route in the south east of England.
3.2.9

In Study Area 2, the A26 links to the B2109/A259 in Newhaven and routes north to a roundabout junction with the A27 at Beddingham. The road is subject to the national speed limit (NSL) outside of settlements but reduces to 40 mph in South Heighton and 30mph in Newhaven. The A26 has footways and streetlights in the major settlements but is not provided with footways in the rural sections.

## McKinley Way (Newhaven Port New Access Road)

3.2.10 McKinley Way is a 1.4 km two lane single carriageway which runs from a roundabout junction with the A259, via a new intermediate roundabout, to a new roundabout providing access to Newhaven East Quay. The road has footways as well as a segregated cycle track, and the southern end of the road includes a
bridge spanning the Newhaven to Seaford railway line and Mill Creek. McKinley Way is subject to a 30 mph speed limit.

## Baseline traffic flows

The approach to the collation of baseline traffic for Study Area 2 is the same as Study Area 1 and historic traffic data has been used, derived from the DfT traffic data.

Growth rates for total vehicles have been derived from the DfT's TEMPro 7.2. A base year of 2021 has been used to growth up to for the baseline traffic counts. Growth rates from TEMPro have been based on TEMPro rates for Lewes 008/009 within the database which covers the town of Newhaven. The TEMPro growth rates are as follows:

- 2018-2021 - Newhaven - 1.93.

HGV growth has been based on the DfT (2021) publication 'TRA2501c - Road traffic (vehicle miles) by vehicle type in Great Britain'. Table TRA2501c presents national data on the yearly change in vehicle traffic for total vehicles, car, light commercial vehicles and HGVs.

Based on Table TRA2501c, annual growth factors for HGVs have been derived as follows:

- the change in HGV traffic flows between 2019 (last reliable year of data due to the COVID-19 pandemic) and the base year of 2021 has been calculated;
- the growth factor for from 2018 to 2019 was $0.38 \%$;
- estimated growth between 2019 and 2021 is assumed as $0.38 \%$ per annum, or $0.76 \%$ over the two years; and
- the growth for $2019-2021$ ( $0.76 \%$ has been added to the growth from the historic count year to 2019 to provide for a growth from historic count year to 2021).

The calculations above presented the following growth rates for HGVs:

- 2019-2021-1.00750

Due to the Newhaven Port New Access Road not yet having been opened to traffic at the time of 2019 data collection (growthedfactored up to 2021), an assumption has been made for the percentage transfer of existing traffic to Newhaven Port East Quay which currently routes along Railway Road / Clifton Road and would move onto the New Access Road. For completion of a robust assessment, it is assumed that $80 \%$ of the currently average daily traffic on the existing Railway Road / Clifton Road route will reassign to the New Port Access Road.

Table 3-6 sets out the AADF for 2019 and the current baseline (2021). 2021 base traffic includes for the transfer of $80 \%$ of the traffic from the existing access to Newhaven Port corridor; as described above.

Table 3-6 2021 baseline traffic data (AADF) - Study Area 2

| Highways <br> Link | Historic Traffic Data |  | 2021 Base |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | Year of <br> Data | Total <br> Vehicles | HGVs | HGV\% |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2829 | 233 | $8.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 16873 | 1267 | 2019 | 17346 | 1277 | $7.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 16873 | 1267 | 2019 | 17346 | 1277 | $7.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 36734 | 1921 | 2019 | 37781 | 1935 | $5.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 26348 | 1095 | 2019 | 27106 | 1103 | $4.1 \%$ |

## Future baseline

Study Area 1 - Onshore works

## Traffic growth

## Construction impacts

3.2.18 To understand the transport effects during the construction phase, the traffic generation calculations were interrogated to identify a single peak week across the study area for all receptors on highways links, i.e. the week during the construction programme when the number of vehicle trips being generated is forecast to be highest.
3.2.19 It has been agreed with WSCC and NH that growth rates can be derived from TEMPro and there is no requirement to include committed development or Local Plan allocations as the growth within the TEMPro estimates will account for traffic growth related to future development in the area through Local Plan allocations.
3.2.20 Furthermore, the Department for Transport (DfT) has confirmed that the A27 Arundel Bypass scheme will be deferred to Road Investment Strategy (RIS3 covering 2025 to 2030) to allow time for stakeholders' views to be fully considered. Therefore ${ }_{2}$ as the A27 Arundel Bypass is not yet committed, no cumulative effects assessment has been included with the Proposed Development.
3.2.21 The growth rates from TEMPro are as follows:

- 2021-2025 - Arun - 1.066 / Horsham - 1.069;
- 2021-2026 - Arun-1.0746 / Horsham - 1.0788;
- 2021-2027 - Arun - 1.0831 / Horsham - 1.0868; and
- 2027-2028 - Arun - 1.092 / Horsham - 1.095.
3.2.22 The HGV growth rates derived from the DfT Transport Statistics are as follows:
- 2024-2025-1.062;
- 2021-2026-1.075:
- 2021-2027-1.093; and
- 2021-2028-1.109.
3.2.23 The following HGV growth rates have been applied to the base survey flows for the new receptor:
- 2012-2025-1.202;
- 2012-2026-1.217;
- 2017-2025-1.124;
- 2017-2026-1.1395;
- 2023-2025-1.031;
- 2023-2026-1.0465; and
- 2023-2027-1.062.
3.2.20- The growth rates from TEMPro are as follows:
- 2021-2026-Arun-1.0746/Horsham-1.0788; and
- 2021-2027-Arun-1.0831/Horsham-1.0868.
3.2.21- The HGV growth rates derived from the DfT Transport Statistics are as follows:
- 2021-2026-1.075; and
- 2021-2027-1.093.
3.2.24 The resultant future year traffic generation is set out in Table 2-6 and Table 2-7 of Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32).


## Decommissioning impacts

з.2.223.2.25 The temporal scope of the assessment of the decommissioning phase is based on the peak period of traffic during the onshore substation removal. It is currently predicted that the onshore substation will be decommissioned around 30 years from the Proposed Development commission. The onshore substation is proposed to be built in year two to five of the construction programme. Based on the assessments set out on this TGTN, year five will be 203029 and 30 years from then will be 206059.
3.2.233.2.26 It has been agreed with WSCC and NH that growth rates can be derived from TEMPro and there is no requirement to include committed development or Local Plan allocations as the growth within the TEMPro estimates will account for traffic growth related to future development in the area through Local Plan
allocations. For the decommissioning phase impacts assessment, TEMPro rates have been extracted for Horsham.
3.2.243.2.27 TEMPro only provide traffic estimates to 2051 as this is the latest year for which a reliable traffic growth estimate can be made. Therefore, 2051 is used for the assessment for the decommissioning phase-It is considered reasonable that for the purpose of assessment for decommissioning in this TGTN, 2051 is used for assessment.
3.2.253.2.28 The growth rates from TEMPro are as follows:

- 2021-2051 - Horsham - 1.22.
3.2.263.2.29 The HGV growth rates derived from the DfT Transport Statistics based on the construction phase HGV growth methodology will result in HGV growth of $1.55 \%$ per year which over 30 years to 2051 will result an increase in HGVs of $46.50 \%$. Although this almost doubling of HGVs in 30 years may not be reached (in recent years HGV growth has slowed) it has been used for calculations in this TGTN for consistency with other assessments.
- 2021-2051-1.4650.
3.2.30 The resultant future year traffic generation is set out in Table 2-6 and Table 2-7 of Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32).

Future highways network changes (construction and decommissioning phases)
3.2.273.2.31 During initial consultation, WSCC confirmed that there are no highways schemes that will need to be considered in the assessment.
3.2.283.2.32 WSCC commented that the A27 Arundel Bypass is being promoted by NH but is not a committed development. With no direct impacts of onshore elements of the Proposed Development across the proposed route of the A27 Arundel Bypass, the only effects of the onshore elements of the Proposed Development on the bypass will be the additional traffic generated during the construction phase. Highways Link 9 (As shown in Figure 23.20, Volume 3 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.3.23) has been selected to provide NH with an indication of the peak construction traffic on the A27 which will switch to an open A27 Arundel Bypass. The Department for Transport has confirmed that the A27 Arundel Bypass scheme will be deferred to Road Investment Strategy (RIS3 (covering 2025 to 2030) to allow time for stakeholders' views to be fully considered. Therefore, as the A27 Arundel Bypass is not yet committed, it is not included within the cumulative effects assessment in Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064]-or this TGTN, since it is not considered that the A27 Arundel Bypass would either be open or past its peak point of construction by the time that construction of the Proposed Development is complete.
3.2.293.2.33 The Lyminster Bypass construction works commenced on 24 October 2022 and the scheme completion is currently forecast for Autumn 2024. The bypass will link to the existing A284 from a point approximately 600 m south of the A27 at Crossbush and join the privately developed section of the same proposed bypass at Toddington Nurseries. The proposed bypass will be a 7.3 m wide single dual carriageway with verge on one side and a shared footway/cycleway facility on the other. The footway/cycleway will connect to existing and proposed facilities along
the southern half of the bypass and A259. The Lyminster Bypass is due to be completed ahead of the peak of any Rampion 2 construction works. In order to present a robust scenario, the Rampion 2 traffic modelling has assumed that no Rampion 2 construction traffic would use the Lyminster Bypass and would instead use the existing road network; in practice, the presence of the Lyminster Bypass would relieve pressure on the existing road network. The cumulative highways assessment therefore does not include the Lyminster Bypass, so as to provide a robust assessment.
3.2.303.2.34 West Sussex County Council (WSCC) is currently developing a major road enhancement scheme for the corridor of the A259 between Bognor Regis and Littlehampton in Arun District. The location of the scheme is between and including the B2132 Yapton Road (Comet Corner) junction and the B2187 Bridge Road (Tesco) junction. Construction commencement, subject to Full Business Case approval, is predicted to be the middle of 2025. As the scheme does not yet have a full Business Case it has not been included in the cumulative effects assessment in Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064]-_or this TGTN, however a sensitivity test could be undertaken if the A259 scheme were to get full business case approval.

Study Area 2 - Onshore impacts of offshore works

## Traffic growth

3.2.313.2.35 Onshore impacts of the offshore operation and maintenance phase are proposed to start in the first year of commission. With the construction phase ending in 2029, a future year of assessment of 2030 for this operation and maintenance phase has been assumed for assessment in this TGTN.
3.2.323.2.36 _It has been agreed with WSCC and NH that growth rates can be derived from TEMPro and there is no requirement to include committed development or Local Plan allocations as the growth within the TEMPro estimates will account for traffic growth related to future development in the area and it is proposed to continue that approach for Study Area 2. The growth rates are based on the Newhaven (TEMPro data set Lewes 008/009) location in TEMPro as that is where the candidate port is located.
3.2.333.2.37 The growth rates from TEMPro are as follows:

- 2021-2030-1.0746.
3.2.343.2.38 The HGV growth rates derived from the DfT Transport Statistics are as follows:
- 2021-2030-1.1395.
3.2.39 The resultant future year traffic generation is set out in Table 2-6 and Table 2-7 of Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32).


## 4. Proposed Development

### 4.1 The onshore elements of the Proposed Development

4.1.1 The onshore elements of the Proposed Development will include the construction of a temporary onshore cable corridor from landfall at Climping to a new onshore substation at Oakendene near Cowfold, that will connect to the and from the new onshore substation to the existing National Grid Bolney substation, Mid Sussex, via buried onshore cables.

## Onshore cable corridor

4.1.14.1.2 The onshore cable corridor will cover an approximate distance of 38.8 km and will be buried along its entire length.- The onshore cable will be buried along its entire length and will encompass a permanent easement width of up to 25 m . For construction purposes, a nominal working width up to 40 m will be required for the majority of the onshore cable corridor, with some larger working areas required at key areas, while constraints may restrict the working width in other areas.
4.1.24.1.3 The onshore temporary cable corridor will cover an approximate distance of 39 km measured from the Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and will start at the proposedThe onshore cable corridor commences at landfall site-in Climping, then crosses under the A2589, rail network -and River Arun via trenchless -before crossing before also crossing by trenchless method under the A27 near Hammerpot. From here, the onshore temporary cable corridorcorridor will heads north east across the South Downs to Washington, West Sussex and under the A24 and A283 via a trenchless crossing. The onshore cable corridor continues north-east through a rural area and to the new onshore substation at Oakendene, that will connect to the location within proximity of the existing National Grid Bolney substation via buried onshore cables. Additional infrastructure at the existing Bolney Substation is required to connect the Proposed Development to the National Grid electrical network.
4.1.34.1.4 The onshore temporary cable corridor has numerous crossings of roads including the A2589, A284, A27, A24, A283, Chanctonbury Ring Road, Spithandle Lane, B2135, B2116, A281, King's Lane, Kent Street, and Wineham LaneB2135, B2116 and A281. There is also one crossing of the River Arun and two crossings of the National Rail network west of Littlehampton and west of Wick. Installation of t7he onshore cable will be installedutilise in trenchless crossing techniques (e.g. HDD) es or by HDD to avoid major roads at specific locations, operating railway lines and watercourses.
4.1.44.1.5 For the purpose of this assessment ${ }_{2}$ the entire onshore temporary cable corridor has been split into three sections which are described below and presented in Figure 23.2, Volume 3 of Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].- Each of the sections contains a temporary construction compound (TCC) plus a number of construction accesses, and therefore enables the study area to be studied in greater detail.

- Section 1 runs north from landfall, across the A259, the River Arun and the two railway lines before crossing the A27 near the edge of the South Downs at Hammerpot. This section is rural but runs along the edge of the settlements of Littlehampton, Wick, Lyminster and PolingCrossbush;
- Section 2 runs north-east from the Section 1 boundary to a crossing of the A24 near Washington, West Sussex. Between the A27 and A24, the onshore cable corridor has minimal interaction with the local highways network and due to the nature of access options, will make use of a continuous temporary construction haul road; and
- Section 3 runs from the Section 2 boundary along the A283 corridor before turning north east to Partridge Green and further east to Wineham / Bolney. This section is flat and rural in character but with more crossings of roads.
4.1.6 The three sections of the onshore cable corridor route associated with each of the three temporary construction compoundsTCC's assessed are presented in Figure 23.2.1, Annex B.
4.1.7 The onshore cable corridor was divided into three sections to define the number of work fronts required. The key onshore cable construction activities occur over a three year period. It is assumed that the construction crews will initially work on the southernmost section (Section 1) of the onshore cable corridor, moving on to Section 2 and then Section 3 as set out in Figure 23.2.1, Annex B. The sections are in turn broken down into smaller sections defined by access points and crossings.
4.1.8 Each of these sections is defined by the presence of a temporary construction compound, plus a number of construction accesses.
4.1.54.1.9 Temporary construction compounds (TCGTCC's) will be required to store materials and plant as well as form a base for traffic working at the various site locations. The onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits allows space for five temporary construction compound-TCC locations as set out in Figure 23.3a-c, Volume 3 of Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064]. The temporary construction compoundsTCC's used in the traffic calculations used in this assessment are as follows:
- Temporary construction compound-TCC 1 -Climping compound: Site Access A-5, serving Section 1;
- Temporary construction compound-TCC 2 - Washington compound: Site Access A39, serving Section 2;
- Temporary construction compound-TCC 3 - Oakendene west compound: Site Access A-62, serving Section 3;
- Construction compound 4 - Oakendene substation compound: Site Access A63, serving Section 3; and
- Construction compound 5 - existing National Grid Bolney substation compound - Site Access A-68, serving Section 3
4.1.10 Figure 23.4a-c, Volume 3 of the ES-_(Document Reference: 6.3.23) sets out the onshore temporary cable corridor sections and the selected temporary construction compoundsTCCs.
4.1.64.1.11 The operational lifetime of the Proposed Development is expected to be around 30 years and for the purposes of this TGTN at year 30 the Proposed Development will reach the decommissioning phase.
4.1.74.1.12 Taking place after construction and commissioning of the Proposed Development, the operation and maintenance phase activities can be divided into three main categories:
- scheduled maintenance;
- unscheduled maintenance; and
- special maintenance in the event of major equipment breakdown and repairs.


## Cconstruction phase working hours

4.1.13 Core working hours for construction of the onshore components will be 08:00 to 18:00 Monday to Friday, and 08:00 to 13:00 on Saturdays, apart from specific circumstances that are set out in the Outline Code of Construction Practice (COCP) [PEPD-033], where extended and continuous periods of construction are required.

Indicative core working hours for the construction work and any construction-related traffic movements to or from any site of the Proposed Development are as follows:

07:00 to 19:00 hours Monday to Friday; and
08:00 to 13:00 hours on Saturday;
4.1.14 Prior to and following the core working hours Monday to Friday, a 'shoulder hour' for mobilisation and shut down will be applied (07:00 to 08:00 and 18:00 to 19:00). The activities permitted during the shoulder hours include staff arrivals and departures, briefings and toolbox talks, deliveries to site and unloading, and activities including site and safety inspections and plant maintenance. Such activities shall not include use of heavy plant or activity resulting in impacts. ground breaking or earthworks.
4.1.15 NaO activity outside these hours including Sundays, public holidays or bank holidays will take place apart from under the following circumstances:

- where continuous periods (up to 24 hours, 7 days per week) of construction work are required for HDD²;
- for other works requiring extended working hours such as concrete pouring which will require the relevant planning authority to be notified at least 72 hours in advance;

[^1]- for the delivery of abnormal loads to the connection works, which may cause congestion on the local road network, and will require the relevant highway authority to be notified at least 72 hours in advance; or
- as otherwise agreed in writing with the relevant planning authority.
1.1 Prior to and following the core working hours Monday to Friday, a 'shoulder hour' for mobilisation and shut down will bo applied (07:00 to 08:00 and 18:00 to 19:00), The activities permitted during the shoulder hours include staff arrivals and departures, briefings and toolbox talks, deliveries to site and unloading, and activitios including site and safoty inspections and plant maintenance. Such activitios shall not includo uso of hoavy plant or activity rosulting in impacts, ground breaking or earthworks:
4.1.16 For the purposes of a robust assessment in this TGTN, traffic generation has only been calculated for a five day working week and with no Saturday working.
4.1.17 It is anticipated that heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) will be required during the enabling and construction phases of the Proposed dDevelopment.

Operation and maintenance phase
4.1.18 Following the construction phase, the operation and maintenance phase activities can be divided into three main categories:

- scheduled maintenance:
- unscheduled maintenance; and
- special maintenance in the event of major equipment breakdown and repairs.
4.1.19 A key principle is that the wind farm will be designed to operate under minimum supervisory input. Maintenance of the onshore cable is expected to be minimal. During the operation and maintenance phase, periodic testing of the cable is likely to be required (every two to five years). This will require access to the link boxes at defined inspection points along the onshore cable corridor. This will involve attendance by up to three light vehicles, such as vans, in a day at any one location. The vehicles will gain access using existing field accesses and side accesses as agreed with landowners to reach the relevant sections of the onshore cable.
4.1.20 For the onshore cable, unscheduled maintenance or emergency repair visits will typically involve a very small number of vehicles, typically light vans. Infrequently, equipment may be required to be replaced, then the use of an occasional HGV may be utilised, depending on the nature of the repair.
4.1.21 Vehicle movements during operation and maintenance phase of the onshore substation will be small, comprising occasional inspection and maintenance requirements. Its considered this traffic will be negligible and there not been assessed.
4.1.22 The operational lifetime of the Proposed Development is expected to be around 30 years and for the purposes of this TGTN at year 30 the Proposed Development will reach the decommissioning phase.


## Decommissioning phase

4.1.23 A decommissioning plan and programme will be developed prior to construction and updated during operation of the Proposed Development to account for any changes to decommissioning best-practice and developments in technology.
4.1.24 The decommissioning phase is anticipated to involve the removal of offshore infrastructure above the seabed, and the removal and reinstatement of the onshore substation site. The cable will be left in place to avoid disturbance. The decommissioning works are likely to be undertaken in reverse to the sequence of construction works and involve similar levels of equipment but much reduced numbers of vehicles for decommissioning.

### 4.2 The offshore elements of the Proposed Development

4.2.1 The key offshore elements of the Proposed Development will be as follows:

- up to 90 offshore wind turbine generators (WTGs) and associated foundations;
- blade tip of the WTGs will be up to 325m above Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT) and will have a 22 m minimum air gap above Mean High Water Springs (MHWS);
- inter-array cables connecting the WTGs to up to three offshore substations;
- up to two offshore interconnector export cables between the offshore substations;
- up to four offshore export cables each in its own trench, will be buried under the seabed within the final cable corridor; and
- the export cable circuits will be High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC), with a voltage of up to 275 kV .


## Construction phase

4.2.2 During the construction phase, it is anticipated that 2,000+ two way movements for crew support vessels from the onshore ports to the offshore infrastructure, however it is not established how this will be organised.
4.2.24.2.3 The construction of the Rampion 1 project resulted in staff arriving and departing numerous ports in the UK and Europe and it is likely this process will be undertaken for Rampion 2.
4.2.4 A maximum of 6 crew transfer vessels (which can typically carry 12 to 16 passengers and equipment) is required with 180 return trips ${ }^{3}$ (360 two-way

## ${ }^{3}$ One return trip comprises 2 two-way movements in total

movements) for all three offshore substations during the offshore substation vessel installation.
4.2.5 A maximum of 10 crew transfer vessels (which can typically carry 12 to 16 passengers and equipment) would be required with 1800 two-way movements for the smaller wind turbine generator type during the offshore installation.
4.2.6 Alternatively, a maximum of 6 crew transfer vessels (which can typically carry 12 to 16 passengers and equipment) would be required with 600 two-way movements for the larger wind turbine generator type during the offshore installation.
42.31.1. Consequently, the onshore impacts of the offshore process (i.e. transferring construction workers to ports to connect to offshore transfers) would have a limited impact onshore compared to the movement of freight. Offshore construction worker movements have been considered as part of the onshore assessment.
4.2.44.2.7 Material, including large transformers, cable and WTG components are expected to be delivered directly from European manufacturing bases. WTG construction vessels are also usually moored in European ports or will transfer from other projects.
4.2.8 There will also be some onshore works required in the offshore works but these construction activities (construction compound setup, HDD, Transition Joint Bay (TJB) construction etc.) have relatively short durations compared with the overall landfall construction presented in this TGTN for the construction phase of the landfall site (onshore). Due to the landfall works requiring offshore works, the scheduling of the landfall works will allow for flexibility around the offshore schedule and sufficient time for all onshore activities to be performed so as not to delay the offshore works.
4.2.9 Consequently, the onshore impacts of the offshore process (i.e. transferring construction workers to ports to connect to offshore transfers) would have a limited impact onshore compared to the movement of freight. Offshore construction worker movements have been considered as part of the onshore assessment.

## Operation and maintenance phase

4.2.54.2.10 The operational lifetime of the Proposed Development is expected to be around 30 years and for the purposes of this TGTN at year 30 the Proposed Development will reach the decommissioning phase. It is only anticipated that elements of the Proposed Development that are above sea level will be removed (WTG / substations).
4.2.11 When the offshore elements of the Proposed Development are constructed and commissioned staff will be required to continue to operate and maintain the WTGs and associated infrastructure. RED will draw on experience gained in operating and maintaining the existing Rampion 1 project and although the maintenance port and facilities are not yet confirmed, for the purpose of this TGTN it is assumed that the existing operation and maintenance base Newhaven East Quay will be used for staffing.

## 5. Key Construction Traffic AssumptionsOnshore cable corridor and configuration

### 5.1 Introduction

4.2.65.1.1 This Section provides a summary of key assumptions that have been used to calculate construction traffic generation across all elements of the of Proposed Development.

### 4.35.2 Overview

4.3.15.2.1 The construction works have been based on the following onshore cable connection design. A maximum of 20 buried cables will run along the length of the onshore cable route from the landfall at Climping through to the new onshore substation at Oakendene. A maximum of 10 buried cables will subsequently run from the new onshore substation to connect into the existing National Grid Bolney substation.
4.3.25.2.2 The up to 275 kV cable system along the onshore cable route will comprise four cable circuits in separate trenches. Each circuit will contain three Power Cables (HVACs) and two Fibre Optic Cables (FOCs) drawn through pre-installed ducts.
4.3.35.2.3 The 400 kV cable system between the new onshore substation at Oakendene and the existing National Grid Bolney substation will comprise two cable circuits in separate trenches. Each circuit will contain three Power Cables and two FOCs drawn through pre-installed ducts. In order to undertake calculations of vehicle movements associated with the construction phase of the onshore elements of the Proposed Development, a fixed scheme is required with regards to onshore cable corridor, onshore substation location and ICC temporary construction compound TCC locations. To inform these calculations, vehicle movements have been calculated for each construction site and key assumptions associated with these are discussed in the following subsections for:

- onshore cable corridor;
- temporary construction compoundsTCCs;
- onshore substation; and
- temporary construction accesses.


### 4.45.3 Onshore cable corridor

4.4.15.3.1 The onshore cable corridor is routed from the landfall at Climping through to a proposed new onshore substation at Oakendene, and then onto the existing National Grid Bolney substation.
4.4.25.3.2 Temporary access points along the onshore cable route have been identified. These accesses may be utilised in different ways, due to safety and efficiency, for example traffic may enter and exit via different accesses whilst making deliveries to site. For the purposes of this assessment, access to and from the onshore cable route is assumed through the access points as set out in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 Accesses

| Access | Access Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| A-01 | Construction and operational |
| A-02 | Light construction |
| A-03 | Light construction |
| A-04 | Operational |
| A-05 | Construction and operational |
| A-06 | Operational |
| A-08 | Light construction |
| A-09 | Construction and operational |
| A-10 | Operational |
| A-11 | Operational |
| A-12 | Construction |
| A-13 | Construction and operation |
| A-14 | Light construction and operational |
| A-15 | Construction and operational |
| A-16 | Construction and operational |
| A-17 | Operational |
| A-18 | Operational |
| A-21 | Light Construction |
| A-24 | Construction |


| Access | Access Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| A-25 | Light construction and operational |
| A-26 | Construction and operational |
| A-27 | Operational |
| A-28 | Construction |
| A-29 | Operational |
| A-30 | Operational |
| A-31 | Operational |
| A-32 | Operational |
| A-33 | Construction |
| A-34 | Operational |
| A-35 | Construction |
| A-36 | Operational |
| A-37 | Light construction |
| A-38 | Light construction |
| A-39 | Construction and operational |
| A-40 | Construction and operational |
| A-41 | Construction and operational |
| A-42 | Construction and operational |
| A-43 | Constrional |
| A-44 | Construction and operational operational |
| A-46 | Construction and operational |


| Access | Access Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| A-50a | Construction |
| A-50b | Operational |
| A-51 | Operational |
| A-52 | Construction and operational |
| A-53 | Construction |
| A-54 | Operational |
| A-55 | Operational |
| A-56 | Construction and operational |
| A-57 | Construction and operational |
| A-58 | Operational |
| A-59 | Operational |
| A-60 | Operational |
| A-61 | Construction and operational |
| A-62 | Construction |
| A-63 | Construction and operational |
| A-64 | Construction and operational |
| A-65 | Operational |
| A-66 | Light construction and operational |
|  | Construction and operational |
|  | Construction |

[^2]5.3.4 Potential temporary construction access points along the onshore cable corridor were identified based on suitability for the Proposed Development requirements. Existing access points and tracks have been utilised where possible. These temporary construction and operational accesses may be utilised in different ways due to safety and efficiency, for example construction traffic may use one access for incoming traffic to an onshore cable corridor section and another access for traffic to exit. For the purposes of this assessment, access to and from the onshore cable corridor is assumed through the same access point.
4.4.45.3.5 The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [(PEPD-035a] includes more detail on the temporary construction access points.

### 4.55.4 Temporary construction compounds

5.4.1 During the construction phase, fourA number of temporary construction compoundsTCC's will be required to support the construction of the onshore elements of the Proposed Development and will be used to store materials and form a base for traffic travelling to and from construction site locations. These temporary construction compoundsTCC's are outlined in Section 4.1.

### 4.65.5 Onshore substation

4.6.15.5.1 The purpose of the new onshore substation at Oakendene is to increase the onshore cable route voltage from 275 kV the export voltage to the 400 kV required to connect to the existing National Grid Bolney substation.
4.6.25.5.2 Access to the onshore substation will be required during construction as well as operation and maintenance. The temporary construction access route will be used for the duration of the onshore substation construction works.
4.6.35.5.3 Temporary construction activities for the onshore substation will include enabling works and construction works. Enabling works will prepare the site ahead of construction and include vegetation clearance, access road construction, installation of drainage systems, stone fill, installation of a temporary construction compound, delivery of materials, plant, machinery and fuel, and any earthworks necessary for the installation of the substation foundations.
4.6.45.5.4 Generally, onshore substation construction will take place during daylight hours with a requirement only for local task lighting. Construction works will involve:

- landscaping;
- installation of perimeter fencing;
- ground preparation works;
- installation of underground services and onshore substation foundations;
- construction of the control and switchgear buildings and plant buildings;
- construction of cable trenches;
- construction of ducts and pits;
- construction of the oil containment bund; and
- provision of utility supplies.
4.6.55.5.5 Once all construction activities have been carried out, the electrical equipment will be installed, commissioned and tested for the performance of the connection between Oakendene and the existing National Grid Bolney substation. Finally, the site will be secured, and the temporary area returned to its original use and condition.
5.5.6 It is anticipated that heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) will be required during the enabling and construction phases of the development.


## Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs)

5.5.7 It is anticipated that Abnormal indivisible load (AIL) movements are expected to be required during the construction phase to transport permanent plant and equipment to the site. The expected AIL movements are described in Appendix 23.1: Abnormal Indivisible Loads assessment, Volume 4 of the of the ES [APP-196].
4.6.65.5.8 There will be up to 10 two-way movements delivering abnormal indivisible loads.
4.6.75.5.9 Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AILs) will be comprised of:

Up to four mainThree transformers; and
$-$

- Ssix shunt reactors.
—This figure reflects the update contained within the errata items included in Appendix 3 of the Applicant's cover level to the Pre-Examination Procedural Deadline (PEPD-001).-
4.6.8 Temporary construction and operational accesses
4.6.9- Temporary construction access points are required along the onshore cable corridor to allow the transportation of materials, equipment, and personnel to and from the construction sites. These temporary construction access points will allow access to the construction corridor where there will be a temporary construction haul road running along the length of the onshore cable route, except for locations where there are trenchless or road crossings. Figure 23.14a-e, Volume 3 of the ES (Document Reference: 6.3.23) presents the locations of all the proposed temporary construction access points along the onshore cable corridor.
4.6.10- Potential temporary construction access points along the onshore cable corridor were identified based on suitability for the Proposed Development requirements. Existing access points and tracks have been utilised where possible. These temporary construction and operational accesses may be utilised in different ways due to safety and efficiency, for example construction traffic may use one access for incoming traffic to an onshore cable corridor section and another access for traffic to exit. For the purposes of this assessment, access to and from the onshore cable corridor is assumed through the same access point.
4.6.11- P1 - AA-05 - Temporary construction (and permanent) access to A284 Lyminster Road;

```
4.6.12-P2 - AA-16 and AA-17 - Temporary construction accesses to A24 Westbound
        (AA-16 temporary construction access only and AA-17 permanent only);
4.6.13- P3 - AA-18 - Temporary construction and permanent access (Decoy Lane) to A24
        Westbound;
4.6.74-P4 - within LACR-01a - Temporary construction access (Hammerpot) to unnamed
        road which links to A24 Eastbound;
4.6.15-P5-AA-21-Temporary construction and permanent access to Michelgrove
        Lane;
4.6.16- P6 - AA-22 and AA-23 - Temporary construction and permanent accesses to
        Michelgrove Lane;
4.6.17-P7 - AA-24 - Temporary construction and permanent access to Longfurlong Lane;
    and
4.6.185.5.10 PB - AA-25 - Temporary construction and permanent access from A280.
```


### 4.75.6 Work section breakdown

47.15.6.1 The three sections of the onshore cable corridor route associated with each of the three temporary construction compoundsTCC's assessed is presented in Figure 23.2.1, Annex B.
4.7.25.6.2 The onshore cable corridor has been divided into sections to define the number of work fronts required. The key onshore cable construction activities occur over a three year period. It is assumed that the construction crews will initially work on the southernmost section (Section 1) of the onshore cable corridor, moving on to Section 2 and then Section 3 as set out in Figure 23.2.1, Annex B. The sections are in turn broken down into smaller sections defined by access points and crossings.
4.7.35.6.3 Each of these sections is defined by the presence of a temporary construction compound- Temporary Construction Compound (FCGICC), plus a number of construction accesses.

### 4.85.7 Construction activities

4.8.7.7.7.1 During the construction phase, construction activities have been divided into the following activities:

- horizontal directional drill (HDD) construction compound works;
- HDD drilling;
- temporary construction compound TCC mobilisation;
- temporary compound construction;
- haul roads;
- landfall works;
- clearing works;
- temporary construction access works
- materials deliveries;
- trenching;
- all joint bay works;
- duct installation, cable pulling and reinstatement;
- temporary construction access road / haul road reinstatement;
- compound reinstatement; and
- onshore substation construction.
4.8.25.7.2 The Gconstruction traffic generation of all of these elements of the works has been predicted across the proposed four four-year construction schedule_(2025-2030). This has resulted in vehicle movement predictions per vehicle type on a weekly basis per access point for each work site, split into Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs) and light vehicles, with the latter being further split into staff vehicles and construction Light Goods Vehicles (LGVs) such as vans and pick-up trucks.


### 4.95.8 Construction Management Base

4.9.15.8.1 A construction management base (CMB) is proposed to be located in the vicinity of Shoreham Port. During the construction phase, the onshore elements of the Proposed Development would be supported with Temporary Construction Compounds (temporary construction compoundsTCC's) (and HDD compounds), accesses and haul roads. There will also be some traffic during the construction phase associated with the construction management base in Shoreham, from where crew transfer onto vessels.
4.9.25.8.2 A management team, marine co-ordination and vessel management team will be based in the office, and some contractors may use the office facility as well. These teams will comprise around 24 people in total and will be assumed to be shorebased for robustness.
4.9.35.8.3 The construction management base will enable Crew Transfer Vessels (CTV) to access the offshore construction location. The construction management base will also enable CTV crew transfers to complete construction and commissioning work.
4.9.45.8.4 The base will feature facilities including:

- Temporary modular offices, with welfare and changing facilities;
- Car parking; and
- Temporary pontoons with a fuelling facility.
4.9.55.8.5 It has been assumed that 40 workers per day will travel to the Construction Management Base (CMB) for onward transfer by CTV, and they have the same spatial distribution as workers based at ICC1temporary construction compound TCC 1. The remainder of the LV traffic is assumed to be LGVs and similarly follows the spatial distribution for TCC1 temporary construction compound-TCC 1
deliveries. There are also some HGVs serving the base, and it is assumed that the HGV distribution is followed with respect to these.


### 4.105.9 Scheduling

4.10.15.9.1 An indicative construction programme for the Proposed Development is presented in Graphic 5-1. The programme illustrates the anticipated duration of the major construction / installation elements. The anticipated maximum total construction duration is approximately four years.
4.10.25.9.2 Each construction activity has been scheduled to overlap activities where necessary across the construction schedule This has involved both paralleling some construction activities where practical and increasing the overall duration for other construction activities, as shown in Graphic 5-1.

Graphic 5-15-1 Overall Construction Schedule


### 4.115.10 Construction materials, personnel, plant and equipment requirements

4.11.15.10.1 Construction personnel and plant / equipment requirements have been assessed at a high level for the purposes of estimating the number of HGVs required, as well as LGVs required to bring workers to onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits. Typically, this is via multi-occupancy vehicles to temporary construction compoundsTCCs, before travelling to specific construction accesses that are part of the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits via five person welfare vans.
5.10.2 As the volume of required construction plant/equipment is anticipated to be relatively low in comparison to other construction activities (e.g. aggregate
transportation) associated with the construction of the onshore cable corridor, a high-level estimate has been made only where significant construction plant / equipment requirements are expected.

## 6. Construction traffic generation

### 4.126.1 Assessment methodology

4.12.16.1.1 This section presents a high-level overview of the method that has been adopted to estimate the vehicle movements of both heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) and light vehicles (LGVs) throughout the construction phase of the onshore elements of the Proposed Development.
4.12.26.1.2 This section presents a high-level overview of the method that has been adopted to estimate the vehicle movements of both heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) and light vehicles (LGVs) throughout the construction phase of the onshore elements of the Proposed Development.
4.12.36.1.3 In this TGTN, a vehicle movement has been defined as a one-way journey between two locations. For example, an HGV delivering cables to a TCC and then leaving empty is considered two journeys. A subsequent further two journeys are then required to deliver the cables from the temporary construction compound TCC to site (one loaded, one empty).
4.12.4-In addition to this, the construction traffic calculations account for movements from the TCCs to the specific work site. The two way movement to deliver the cable to the TCG is also followed by another, more local, two way movement which would then deliver the cables from TCC to where they are required at a construction site and then return to the TCC.
4.12.56.1.4 The estimates within this TGTN have been calculated against a conservative set of assumptions based on the best available information on the onshore works within the proposed DCO Order Limits. The final arrangement of the construction works, and precise methods used will be determined during the detailed design stage and the construction phase of the works depending on the working arrangements favoured by the appointed contractor(s). These factors will influence the number of vehicle movements and the personnel requirements.
4.12.66.1.5 For this assessment, each of the construction work sites has been treated separately. Each construction work site along the onshore temporary construction corridor has been broken down into the different activities required. Each activity has then been assessed individually for its requirements.
4.12.76.1.6 The following key design assumptions have been determined for each activity:

- duration of activity;
- material and plant required at each work site;
- length of haul road required;
- approximate size of construction workforce; and
- quantity of construction personnel and construction LGVs.
4.12.86.1.7 Construction traffic vehicle movements associated with the materials required at the onshore cable corridor and onshore substation have been determined based on the latest bill of quantities.


### 4.136.2 Total Construction Traffic Movements

4.13.46.2.1 The approach considers a single onshore cable corridor from landfall through to a single onshore substation before connecting by a single onshore cable corridor into the existing substation.
4.13.26.2.2 Table 6-1Table 6-1 presents all vehicle movements pertaining to onshore cable corridor and onshore substation construction, broken down by vehicle type and year. Note that movements are all presented as two-way totals, for example an HGV travelling from base to compound is counted as one movement, and then the HGV returning to its base is counted as one movement, (i.e. a total of two movements).
6.2.3 These total construction traffic estimates have been used as a basis for all peak assessment scenarios discussed within this TGTN.

Table 6-1 Two-way vehicle movement summary

| Output | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak weekly traffic across the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits - LGV | 548 | 3,632 | 2,180 | 1,340 | N/A |
| Peak weekly traffic across the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits - HGV | 338 | 1,146 | 1,022 | 1,400 | N/A |
| Total Vehicle Movements - HGVs | 3,874 | 33,226 | 22,664 | 8,438 | 68,202 |
|  | 5,598 | 104,388 | 62,226 | 12,162 | 184,374 |

### 6.3 Construction Traffic Distribution

6.3.1 The traffic movements were distributed and assigned to a simplified road network diagram for each type of vehicle type, showing two-way movements in and out of the Study Area.

## HGVs

6.3.2 HGV construction traffic consists of the delivery of materials or equipment directly to the work sites via the access points. The access strategy uses strategic roads as seen in Table 6-2 for the longest part of the journey as detailed within the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-228].

Table 6-2 HGV construction traffic distribution

| Route | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| A27 West | $27.59 \%$ |
| A27 East | $20.69 \%$ |
| A23 North | $27.59 \%$ |
| A23 South | $24.14 \%$ |
|  | $100 \%$ |

4.13.36.3.3 The HGV Access Strategy has considered all local constraints, together with policies such as those set out in the West Sussex Transport Plan 2022-2036 (WSCC, 2022), to identify local HGV access routes for assessment purposes which are set out in Table 6-3.
4.13.46.3.4 HGVs would seek to adhere to these routes wherever possible, with certain exceptions, for example, when materials are required to be delivered to accessesfrom a location along a different route (i.e. where it is not possible to follow the assigned routing).. Additionally, there may need to be temporary deviations from the HGV routes in the event of an incident on the original route, leading police to redirect HGVs via an alternative route until the incident has been cleared.

Table 6-3 HGV access routes

| Route | HGV access route | Accesses served |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A27, A259, A284, Ferry Road | A-1 |
| 2 | A27, A259, A284, Church Road | A-5 |
| 3 | A27, A259, A284, | A-9 |
| 4 | A27, A284, | A-12, A-13, A-15, A-16 |
| 5 | A27 | A-21, A-22 |
| 6 | A27, A280 | A-26, A-28 |


| Route | HGV access route | Accesses served |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | A27, A27, A280, A24, A283 | A-33, A-35, A-39, A-40, A-41, A-42, A-43 |
| 8 | A27, A280, A283, B2135, Spithandle Lane | A-47 |
| 9 | A27, A280, A283, B2135 | A-48, A-50 |
| 10 | A27, -A280, A283, A2037, <br> A281 | A-52 |
| 11 | $\frac{\mathrm{A} 27, \mathrm{~A} 280, \mathrm{~A} 283, \mathrm{~A} 2037,}{\mathrm{~A} 281, \mathrm{~B} 2116}$ | A-53 |
| 12 | A27, A23, A272, A281, | A-56, A-57 |
| 13 | A27, A23, A24, A272 | A-62, A-63 |
| 14 | A27, A23, A24, A272, Kent Street | A-61, A-64 |
| 15 | A27, A23, A24, A272, <br> Wineham Lane | A-67, A-68 |

## LGVs

4.13.56.3.5 The local access routes from compound to access (indicated in Figure 7.6.9a-c of the Outline CTMP (Document Reference:7.6) and Table 6-4) have been used to determine the impact of LGV and HGV traffic on Receptors.
4.13.66.3.6 To assess the impact at each receptor, the traffic data has been obtained from the accesses which pass the Receptor. For example, if the route between compound 1 to access A-5 passes accesses A-1, A-2, A-3 and A-4, and the Receptor is after access $A-3$, then the traffic data has been summed from accesses 4 and 5 . This provides a robust assessment which avoids double counting of traffic at each receptor. This has then been repeated for each compound. This LGV routing allowed estimates to be generated of construction traffic flows for all links within the study area-, which has then been used to assess the impact of the Proposed Development at identified sensitive receptors.

Table 6-4 HGV access routes

| Local access <br> route number | Route via local road network | Temporary construction and <br> operational accesses served |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route 1 | A27 - A284 - A259 - Ferry <br> Road or Church Lane | A-01, A-05, A-09, A-13, A-15, A-16, |
|  | A-20, A21. |  |


| Local access <br> route number | Route via local road network | Temporary construction and <br> operational accesses served |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Route 2 | A27-A280-A24-A283- | A-26, A-27, A-28, A-39, A-40, A-41, <br> A-42, A-43, A-47, A-48, A-50, A-53, <br> B2135-B2116 |
| Route 3 | A254. |  |
|  | A272 - Kent Street or A272 - | A-51, A-52, A-56, A-57, A-61, A-63, <br> A-64, A-67, A-68, A-69. |
|  | A281 |  |

4.13.76.3.7 The LGV Access Strategy is based on a prediction of the construction traffic generation of all onshore elements of the Proposed Development. The construction traffic generation has been applied to the four year construction schedule, which has resulted in construction vehicle movement predictions per vehicle type on a weekly basis per access point.
4.13.86.3.8 LGV construction traffic has been distributed onto the network using the following two methods:

- LGV traffic (staff and other deliveries) - This comprises staff driving from home to work at the Temporary Construction Compounds (TCC's), as well as occasional deliveries by LGV to the TCC's. In both cases the spatial distribution has been calculated using the 2011 journey to work census data for three local areas associated with the three sections of the onshore cable corridor of the Proposed Development as seen in Table 6-5.
- LGV traffic by Multi-Occupancy Vehicle (MOV) (5 staff) - LGV construction traffic then uses a circular route to drop off staff/materials between the TCC's and the proposed works sites via the access points along the onshore cable corridor. Given there are three compounds there are three circular routes as seen in Table 6-6.
4.13.96.3.9 On this basis, LGVs (staff and deliveries) can use any routes to travel from their home to/from the compounds, there are no prescribed routes. However, three circular routes have been devised to transport staff/deliveries between the compounds and work sites in shared multi-occupancy vehicles.

Table 6-5 LGV construction staff traffic distribution
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\begin{array}{l}\text { Entry / Exit points } \\ \text { from highways } \\ \text { network scope }\end{array} & \text { Construction staff traffic distribution by TCC / onshore } \\ \text { substation }\end{array}\right]$ Section 1 $\quad$ Section 2 $\quad$ Section 3

| Entry / Exit points from highways network scope | Construction staff traffic distribution by TCC / onshore substation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section 1 | Section 2 | Section 3 |
| A23 North | 1\% | 2\% | 17\% |
| A23 South | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| A24 North | 3\% | 15\% | 21\% |
| A3021 south | 4\% | 17\% | 9\% |
| A27 East | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| A27 West | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| A284 North | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| A283 East | 0\% | 12\% | 8\% |
| A283 North | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| A283 West | 0\% | 29\% | 13\% |
| A272 East | 1\% | 1\% | 9\% |
| A272 West | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| A270 | 3\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| A273 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| A2300 | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## Table 6-6_ MOV circular construction routes

## Temporary Construction Compound

Climping compound, off Church Lane

Washington compound, north of Washington, West Sussex (accessed from A283)

LGV Multi-Occupancy Vehicle (MOV) circular access routes

Church Lane (SB), Ferry Road, A259 (NB), A284 (NB), A27 (EB), A280 (NB), A24 (SB), A27 (WB), A284 (SB) A259 (SB), Church Lane (NB)

A283 (WB), A283 (EB), B2135 (NB), Spithandle Lane, B2135 (NB), B2116 (WB), A24 (SB), A283 (EB)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text { Oakendene west compound }}{\text { (accessed from A272) }} & \frac{\text { A272 (WB), A281 (SB), B2116 (WB) towards Partridge }}{\text { Green, B2116 (EB), A281 (SB), B2116 (EB), Wineham }} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Lane (NB), Kent Street (NB), Wineham Lane (SB) to } \\
\text { Bolney Substation, Wineham Lane (NB), A272 (EB) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.4 Construction Itrraffic generation

6.4.1 This section details estimated number of theconstruction vehicle movements, both Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs) and Light Goods Vehicles (LGVs) across the study area using the methodology defined in Section 6.3.
6.4.2 Construction traffic movements to and from each access have been provided by the project engineering team. These have then been combined with a series of assumptions around routes, occupancy and other relevant factors.
4.13.10- For the purposes of this assessment, access to and from the onshore cable route is assumed through the access points as set out in Table 6-7.
4.13.116.4.3

Table 6-7 Total two-way construction movements by access

| $\frac{\text { Access }}{\underline{\text { ID }}}$ | Use | Total Two-Way Movements |  | Comments in relation to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LGVs | HGVs |  |
| A-01 | Construction and operational | $\underline{2178}$ | 1812 | N/A |
| A-02 | Light construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-03 | Light construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-05 | Construction and operational | 33900 | 9342 | N/A |
| A-08 | Light construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\frac{10 \text { LGVs included in peak }}{\text { week assessment }}$ |
| A-09 | Construction and operational | 1026 | 1338 | N/A |
| A-12 | Construction | 456 | 878 | N/A |
| A-13 | Construction and operation | Up to 480 | Up to 562 | Shared access with A-15 |


| $\frac{\text { Access }}{\text { ID }}$ | Use | Total Two-Way Movements |  | Comments in relation to ESES -Addendum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LGVs | HGVs |  |
| A-14 | Light construction and operationa | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-15 | Construction and operationa | Up to 480 | Up to 562 | Shared access with A-13 |
| A-16 | Construction and operationa | $\underline{2358}$ | 3520 | N/A |
| A-20 | Light <br> Construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-21 | Construction | 750 | 1302 | Access shared between |
| A-22 | Construction |  |  |  |
| A-24 | Light construction and operationa | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-25 | Light construction and operationa | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\frac{10 \text { LGVs included in peak }}{\text { week assessment }}$ |
| A-26 | Construction and operationa | $\underline{2238}$ | 4892 | $\frac{\text { Access shared between }}{\text { A-276 and A-228 }}$ |
| A-28 | Construction |  |  |  |
| A-33 | Construction | 1416 | $\underline{2646}$ | N/A |
| A-35 | Construction | 60 | $\underline{160}$ | N/A |
| A-37 | Light construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-38 | Light construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-39 | Construction and operationa | 54546 | 7660 | N/A |
| A-40 | Construction and operationa | 966 | 1468 | Access shared between <br> A-2140 and A-2241 |
| A-41 | Construction and operational |  |  |  |


| $\frac{\text { Access }}{\text { ID }}$ | Use | Total Two-Way Movements |  | Comments in relation to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LGVs | HGVs |  |
| A-42 | Construction and operationa | 192 | 318 | N/A |
| A-43 | Construction and operationa | 1728 | 3134 | N/A |
| A-46 | Light construction and operationa | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-47 | Construction and operational | 648 | 900 | N/A |
| A-48 | Construction and operationa | 672 | $\underline{1416}$ | N/A |
| A-49 | Light construction and operationa | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-50 | Construction and operationa | 708 | 1248 | N/A |
| A-52 | Construction and operationa | 642 | 1550 | N/A |
| A-53 | Construction | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | 10 LGVs included in peak week assessment |
| A-56 | Construction and operationa | 780 | 1182 | N/A |
| A-57 | Construction and operational | 1116 | 1816 | N/A |
| A-61 | Construction and operationa | 828 | 1320 | N/A |
| A-62 | Construction | 16338 | $\underline{5778}$ | N/A |
| A-63 | Construction and operational | 52254 | 11438 | N/A |
| A-64 | Construction and operational | 468 | 892 | N/A |


| $\frac{\text { Access }}{\text { DD }}$ | $\underline{\text { Use }}$ | Total Two-Way Movements |  | Comments in relation to ESES -Addendum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LGVs | HGVs |  |
| A-66 | Light construction and operational | $\leq 10$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\frac{10 \text { LGVs included in peak }}{\text { week assessment }}$ |
| A-67 | Construction and operational | 444 | 644 | N/A |
| A-68 | Construction | 7182 | 986 | N/A |

## Assumptions

6.4.4 The following assumptions have been made to provide a high-level estimate of construction traffic:

- for robustness, weekday traffic numbers have been calculated on the basis of dividing the weekly traffic by five working days per week;
- number of person-days - all workers are assumed to travel to the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits once per day via personal LVs (i.e. cars) with one worker per vehicle (i.e. no car sharing). This is a robust assumption for the purposes of the traffic assessment as, in practice, once the workforce becomes established, some degree of car sharing may take place;
- plant and equipment required to complete each construction activity will be stored on the various construction sites (along the onshore cable corridor) that form part of the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits, as opposed to within TCC's. As a result, plant and equipment is associated with only two movements (access and egress) per temporary construction access point;
- all materials are brought directly to the construction sites that are part of the onshore part of the proposed DCO Order Limits, with exception of cement bound sand (CBS), cables and ducts, which will first be stored within TCCs; and
- LGV quantities assume up to five individuals per shared vehicle, and one individual per car arriving at TCCs;
- 2011 Census Journey to Work Data was used to estimate home (or temporary home) locations of the construction workforce, which was then sense checked. The results reflect a workforce which will both aim to encourage local employment as well as uptake of local accommodation by some workers sourced from further afield; and
- For robustness, for the purpose of the traffic analysis, all workers were assumed to travel by car and without car sharing taking place; in practice, there will be measures to encourage sustainable travel amongst the workforce, as set out in the Outline CWTP [APP-229] and Outline OTP [APP-227].
- All light construction accesses generate 10 LGV movements during the peak construction traffic week.
- Where optionality exits between use of accesses (e.g. A13/A15) all construction traffic uses whichever access results in a worst-case impact on nearby receptors.


### 6.5 ES Chapter 23 Peak Construction Scenarios

6.5.1 Using the construction traffic generation assumptions contained within this TGTN the impact of the Proposed Development at its peak has been assessed in Chapter 23: Transport -of the ES [APP-064] by comparing estimates of construction traffic generated by the Proposed Development with future predicted baseline traffic flows on the road links. This has been completed for different construction traffic scenarios to account for different construction activity peaks across the programme and along the onshore cable corridor as summarised in below:

- Peak Week 70: Construction traffic associated with the peak week of four year construction programme (as summarised in Table 23-36 of Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064]
- The peak week has been obtained by calculating the total number of vehicle trips for all accesses in each week (regardless of whether the trips are LGV or HGV). The peak week has been used to assess each individual receptor which may be impacted by construction traffic associated with that access, thereby offering both a consistent approach (since all traffic flows are from the same week) as well as a robust approach (since it is the peak week as calculated across the entire study area). This same method has been used to identify the peak weeks for each of the three sections outlined below.
- Section-based peak weeks: As part of the Proposed Development the entire onshore temporary cable corridor was split into three sections:
- Section 1 runs north from landfall, across the A259, the River Arun and the two railway lines before crossing the A27 near the edge of the South Downs at Warningcamp. This section is rural but runs along the edge of the settlements of Littlehampton, Wick, Lyminster and Crossbush. The peak week for section 1 has been identified as week 72 of the construction programme;
- Section 2 runs north east from the Section 1 boundary to a crossing of the A24 near Washington, West Sussex. Between the A27 and A24, the onshore cable corridor has minimal interaction with the local highways network and due to the nature of access options, will make use of a continuous haul road. The peak week for section 2 has been identified as week 83 of the construction programme; and
- Section 3 runs from the Section 2 boundary along the A283 corridor before turning north east to Partridge Green and further East to Wineham/Bolney. This section is flat and rural in character but with more crossings of roads. The peak week for section 3 has been identified as week 125 of the construction programme
- Annual Average Weekday Traffic (AAWT) for year 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the construction programmeprogrammes (as summarised in Table 23-38 of Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].


### 6.6 ES Addendum Further Sensitivity Tests

6.6.1 A further sensitivity test has been undertaken which assesses the peak week for construction traffic at each receptor. The week used to assess the impact has been based on the peak week in total construction traffic and the peak week of HGV construction traffic. Whilst recognising that this is an unrealistic scenario in practice due to those weeks occurring at different weeks in the programme, it enables the worst case for each receptor to be considered. A summary of the methodology used as part of this additional sensitivity test and the outcomes of the assessment are outlined below.

## Total Construction Traffic Peak

6.6.2 This assignment exercise was used to calculate peak construction traffic flows (two-way movements) by access, for each type of construction vehicle (LGVs, LGV MOVs and HGVs) for each week of the construction programme. This produced 612 separate road network diagrams ( 204 for LGV, 204 for LGV MOV and 204 for HGV) one for each week of the construction programme Graphic 6-1Error! Reference source not found. shows an excerpt from the Week 2 road $n$ etwork diagram, which shows 12 one-way movements, or 24 two-way movements pass Receptor 2 on Church Lane

Graphic 6-1 Week 2, Total Peak Week excerpt from network diagram

6.6.3 From these network diagrams, three separate matrices showing two-way movements (using the turning movements either side of each receptor) have been developed for LGVs, LGV MOVs and HGVs by week and receptor. These three matrices have then been summed to provide a matrix for the Total vehicles (HGV+ LGV+LGV MOV) for each week as seen in the example in Graphic 6-2 and the cumulative impact on each receptor.

## Graphic 6-2 Total vehicles on a week-by-week basis

| - | A | B | c | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Week | Receptor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 2 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 3 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 4 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 5 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 6 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 7 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 |  | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 8 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 |  | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 9 |  |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 10 | 8 |  | 16 | 23.77603 | 2.611517 | 1.393625 | 22.59075 | 19.43105 | 19.43105 | 0 | 1.726147 | 0 | 21.18964 | 8.746148 | 0.746148 |
| 11 | 9 |  | 26.8 | 232.576 | 2.611517 | 40.01431 | 176.7701 | 175.2327 | 175.2327 | 0 | 40.34684 | 0 | 215.612 | 157.5255 | 144.1255 |
| $\cdots$ |  |  | nn. | an | - ..... | -nm | ...... | ... | ... |  | nn ..... |  | $\cdots$ | -n. | $\ldots$ |

6.6.4 This total has then been used to determine the peak week for each receptor as seen in Graphic 6-3. For example, Receptor 6 the peak Week is 72. Therefore, the peak impact at Receptor 6 in Week 72, is 227 HGVs and 375 LGVs (44 LGVs +330 LGV MOVs).

## Graphic 6-3 Impact Assessment based on Total peak week

|  | Total |  |  |  |  | HGV | LGV | MOV | LGV+MOV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receptor | Value | Week Start | Week End | Week Start | Week End | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| 1 | 469 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 160 | 0 | 309 | 309 |
| 2 | 649 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 256 | 62 | 330 | 393 |
| 3 | 40 | 63 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| 4 | 378 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 376 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 609 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 227 | 51 | 330 | 382 |
| 6 | 602 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 227 | 44 | 330 | 375 |
| 7 | 602 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 227 | 44 | 330 | 375 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 378 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 376 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

## HGV Construction Traffic Peak

Similarly, a sensitivity test has also been undertaken using the HGV peak week as seen in Graphic 6-4. For example, Receptor 6 the peak Week is 83. Therefore, the peak impact at Receptor 6 in Week 83, is 248 HGVs and 281 LGVs ( 59 LGVs +222 LGV MOVs).

Graphic 6-4 Impact Assessment based on HGV peak week

|  | HGV |  |  |  |  | HGV | LGV | MOV | LGV+MOV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receptor | Value | Week Start | Week End | Week Star | Week End | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| 1 | 160 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 160 | 0 | 309 | 309 |
| 2 | 274 | 158 | 158 | 158 | 158 | 274 | 8 | 52 | 60 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 376 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 376 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 | 248 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 248 | 64 | 222 | 286 |
| 6 | 248 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 248 | 59 | 222 | 281 |
| 7 | 260 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 260 | 7 | 75 | 82 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 376 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 162 | 376 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

6.6.6 Importantly, this methodology shows individual peak week construction traffic flows for each receptor, meaning that peaks at each receptor do not necessarily happen in the same week. - For example, as seen in Graphic 6-4 Receptor 1
(Ferry Road) the peak week is Week 70, whilst the peak week for Receptor 7 (A284 North of Wick) is Week 72. This ensures that a worst-case assessment is completed for all identified receptors within the Study Area.
6.6.7 The estimates within this TGTN have been calculated against a conservative set of assumptions based on the best available information on the onshore works within the proposed DCO Order Limits. The final arrangement of the construction works, and precise methods used will be determined during the detailed design stage and the construction phase of the works depending on the working arrangements favoured by the appointed contractor(s). These factors will influence the number of vehicle movements and the personnel requirements.
6.6.8 Based on the methodologies described above for LGVs (staff and deliveries) and HGVs, Table 6-8 presents the LGV and HGV peak week movements at each construction access and Table 6-9 presents the LGV and HGV movements (twoway total) for each receptor location.
6.6.9 In viewing Table 6-8 it should be noted that the peak construction traffic week occurs at different accesses during different weeks of the construction programme.

Table 6-8 Peak weekly movements by access

| Access ID | Peak Total Weekly Two-way Movements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HGVs | LGVs |
| A-01 | 160 | 96 |
| A-02 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-03 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-05 | 274 | 1242 |
| A-06 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |
| A-08 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-09 | 232 | 96 |
| A-12 | 234 | 60 |
| A-13 | 130 | 96 |
| A-14 | 0N/A | 10 |
| A-15 | All construction traffic routed through A-13 |  |
| A-16 | 218 | 132 |
| A-20 | QN/A | 10 |


| Access ID | Peak Total Weekly Two-way Movements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HGVs | LGVs |
| A-21 | 98 | 48 |
| A-22 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |
| A-24 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-25 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-26 | 244 | 108 |
| A-28 | 0 | 0 |
| A-33 | 254 | 72 |
| A-35 | 76 | 36 |
| A-37 | $\theta$ N/A | 10 |
| A-38 | N/AO | 10 |
| A-39 | 266 | 1656 |
| A-40 | 160 | 96 |
| A-41 | 0 | 0 |
| A-42 | 78 | 60 |
| A-43 | 236 | 96 |
| A-46 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-47 | 160 | 60 |
| A-48 | 198 | 60 |
| A-49 | ON/A | 10 |
| A-50 | 172 | 60 |
| A-52 | 242 | 60 |
| A-53 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |
| A-56 | 150 | 60 |
| A-57 | 188 | 96 |
| A-61 | 142 | 60 |


| Access ID | Peak Total Weekly Two-way Movements |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | HGVs | LGVs |
| A-62 | 326 | 612 |
| A-63 | 336 | 588 |
| A-64 | 274 | 60 |
| A-66 | $\underline{N N / A}$ | 10 |
| A-67 | 170 | 60 |
| A-68 | 92 | 180 |

Table 6-910 Onshore construction traffic impact per receptor

| $\frac{\text { Link }}{\underline{\text { No }}}$ | Location | Total Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  | HGV Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| 1 | Ferry Road | $\underline{94}$ | 32 | $\underline{94}$ | 32 |
| $\underline{2}$ | Church Lane | 130 | 51 | 67 | 55 |
| 3 | Ford Road | 8 | $\underline{0}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | A27, West of Arundel | 76 | $\underline{75}$ | $\underline{76}$ | $\underline{75}$ |
| $\underline{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A259, West of } \\ & \underline{\text { Wick }} \end{aligned}$ | 122 | 45 | 107 | 50 |
| $\underline{6}$ | $\frac{\text { A284, North of }}{\text { Wick }}$ | 120 | 45 | 106 | 50 |
| 7 | A284 Lyminster | 120 | 45 | 68 | $\underline{52}$ |
| $\underline{9}$ | A27, Arundel Station | 76 | 75 | 76 | $\underline{75}$ |
| 11 | A27, South of Crossbush | 179 | 105 | 179 | 105 |
| 12 | A27 High Salvington | 131 | $\underline{97}$ | 130 | 97 |


| $\frac{\text { Link }}{\text { No }}$ | Location | Total Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  | HGV Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| 13 | $\frac{\text { A24/A27 Offington }}{\text { (Warren Road) }}$ | $\underline{99}$ | $\underline{97}$ | 99 | 97 |
| 14 | A24 Findon | 57 | $\underline{0}$ | 3 | $\underline{0}$ |
| 15 | A280 Long Furlong | 108 | 67 | 100 | 91 |
| 16 | A283 West of A24 | 139 | 51 | 139 | 51 |
| 17 | A283 East of A24 | 157 | 43 | 135 | 44 |
| 18 | B2135, South of Ashurst | 68 | $\underline{28}$ | 46 | 40 |
| 19 | A283, Steyning | 79 | 78 | 79 | 78 |
| $\underline{20}$ | A24, South of A272 | 97 | 19 | 64 | 39 |
| $\underline{21}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2116 Patridge } \\ & \text { Green Road } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\underline{22}$ | A281, South Shermanbury | $\underline{69}$ | $\underline{2}$ | 53 | 48 |
| $\underline{23}$ | A281, South of Cowfold | 71 | 1 | $\underline{23}$ | 12 |
| $\underline{24}$ | A281, Cowfold Centre | 172 | 19 | $\underline{90}$ | 39 |
| $\underline{25}$ | A272, Station Road, Cowfold | 172 | 19 | $\underline{90}$ | 39 |
| $\underline{26}$ | Wineham Lane, South of A272 | $\underline{69}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 67 | 41 |
| $\underline{27}$ | A272, West of A23 | 197 | 49 | 150 | 101 |
| $\underline{28}$ | A23, North of the $\overline{\text { A272 }}$ | 111 | $\underline{54}$ | 81 | 77 |
| $\underline{29}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2188, Sayers } \\ & \hline \underline{\text { Common* }} \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |
| 30 | B2116. Henfield Road, Albourne* | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |


| $\frac{\text { Link }}{\underline{\text { No }}}$ | Location | Total Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  | HGV Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| 31 | A23, North of the A272 | 95 | 65 | 89 | 87 |
| 32 | A27, West of A23 | 122 | 121 | 122 | 121 |
| 33 | A27, East of A23 | 72 | 41 | 60 | 58 |
| 34 | A259, West of Church Street | $\underline{23}$ | 19 | $\underline{23}$ | 19 |
| 35 | A259, East of Wick | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

6.6.10 Table 6-11 provides the HGV and LGV movements generated by construction at each of the new receptors identified within Chapter 32: ES Addendum of the ES (Document Reference: 6.2.32).

Table 6-11_ Onshore construction traffic impact per new receptors

| $\frac{\text { Link }}{\underline{\text { No }}}$ | Location | Total Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  | HGV Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2139, Coolham } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| B | A272, West <br> Chiltington Lane, <br> Pound Lane, Shipley <br> Road | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\underline{C}$ | A272, Cowfold Road | 172 | 19 | $\underline{90}$ | 39 |
| D | B2135, Steyning <br> Road, East of Park Lane | $\underline{36}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 1 | $\underline{0}$ |
| E | A272, Bolney Road, East of A281, North of Oakfield Road | 167 | 19 | 89 | 39 |


| $\frac{\text { Link }}{\underline{\text { No }}}$ | Location | Total Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  | HGV Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| F | A272, Cowfold Road West of the A23 | 197 | 49 | 150 | 101 |
| $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ | A281, North of Woodside Close | 71 | 1 | $\underline{23}$ | 12 |
| $\underline{H}$ | B2135 / B2116 High <br> Street, Partridge <br> Green | 4 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| I | A281, Brighton <br> Road, North of <br> Partridge Green <br> Road | 71 | 1 | $\underline{23}$ | 12 |
| J | Wineham Lane | 69 | $\underline{0}$ | 67 | 41 |
| K | B2118, East of B2116 Henfield Road** | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\underline{L}$ | B2135, North of Spithandle Lane | 81 | 6 | 32 | $\underline{20}$ |
| M | A281, High Steet, Henfield | $\underline{52}$ | 37 | 51 | 48 |
| $\underline{N}$ | $\frac{\text { A281, Brighton }}{\underline{\text { Road}^{*}}}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| O | A283 Storrington Road, Northeast of Sullington Lane | 139 | 51 | 139 | 51 |
| $\underline{P}$ | Michelgrove Lane | 108 | 67 | 100 | 91 |
| Q | A284, Lyminster Road | 120 | 45 | 68 | 52 |
| $\underline{R}$ | Church Lane, North of the A259 | 130 | $\underline{51}$ | $\underline{67}$ | 55 |
| S | Ford Road, Station Road* | 8 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 0 |


| $\frac{\text { Link }}{\underline{\text { No }}}$ | Location | Total Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  | HGV Peak Week Construction Traffic (per weekday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| I | Ford Road | 8 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\underline{\text { U }}$ | Kent Street | 35 | $\underline{0}$ | 32 | 28 |

### 5.7.Operation and maintenance traffic generation

### 5.47.1 Overview

5.1.17.1.1 The operational lifetime of the Proposed Development is expected to be around 30 years. Taking place after commissioning of the Proposed Development, operation and maintenance activities can be divided into three main categories:

- scheduled maintenance;
- unscheduled maintenance; and
- special maintenance in the event of major equipment breakdown and repairs.


### 5.27.2 Traffic generation

5.2.17.2.1 Maintenance of the onshore cable is expected to be minimal. During operation and maintenance, periodic testing of the cable is likely to be required (every two to five years). This will require access to the link boxes at defined inspection points along the onshore cable route. This will involve attendance by up to three light vehicles, such as vans, in a day at any one location. The vehicles will gain access using existing field accesses and side accesses as agreed with landowners to reach the relevant sections of the onshore cable.
5.2.27.2.2 Monitoring of the onshore substation will be done remotely using CCTV technology and other remote monitoring equipment. The security fencing installed during construction will remain in place. Certain areas of the onshore substation will have permanent light fittings; however, these lights will only be used when required for unscheduled maintenance or emergency repair purposes.
5.2.37.2.3 Unscheduled maintenance or emergency repair visits will typically involve a very small number of vehicles, typically light vans. Infrequently, equipment may be required to be replaced, then the use of an occasional HGV may be utilised, depending on the nature of the repair.
5.2.4.7.2.4 Inspection and minor servicing may be required for the electrical plant, but it is anticipated that the substation will require minimal scheduled maintenance and operation activities.
5.2.57.2.5 Impacts on National Parks from traffic generated from Newhaven Port in Study Area 2 has been considered.
7.2.6 During the 0Operation and maintenance phase, it is currently estimated that 40-50 full time staff will be required per day. For the purposes of assessment in this chapter, it is proposed to assess a worst case of 50 staff per day into and out of East Quay, Newhaven Port, which currently accommodates the existing Rampion 1 project operation and maintenance facility.
7.2.7 For the daily traffic generation, it is assumed that all staff arrive by private car with no car sharing or use of sustainable modes. This results in 100 two staff vehicle movements per day, the impacts of which on the local highways network are assessed in of Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].

### 7.3 Traffic distribution

7.3.1 The traffic is proposed to be distributed following the same approach as staff traffic for the construction phase and using journey to work data. An assessment of journey to work data based on the existing port indicates the following distribution of traffic and where it will leave Study Area 2:

- A259 West (Newhaven) - 30.58\%;
- A259 East - 37.79\%;
- A27 West - 18.64\%; and;
- A27 East - 12.99\%.
7.3.2 Figure 23.21a-d, Volume 3 of the ES [APP-064] -sets out the daily traffic impacts on the local highways network and the location of the highways links affected is set out in Figure 23.22, Volume 3 of the ES [APP-064].
7.3.3 The impacts on National Parks from operational and maintenance traffic from Newhaven have been considered in Study Area 2.

Table 7-1_ Onshore operation and maintenance traffic percentage impact per highways link - Study Area 2

| Highway Link | Future Year Base Traffic (2030) |  | Peak Week Staff Traffic (per day) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Vehicles | HGVs | Total Vehicles | HGVs |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1- McKinley } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | 3055 | $\underline{265}$ | 100 | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-A26 South } \\ & \hline \text { Heighton } \end{aligned}$ | 18722 | 1454 | 32 | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\frac{3-\text { A26 }}{\text { Beddingham }}$ | 18722 | 1454 | 32 | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-A27 West } \\ & \underline{\text { of }} \\ & \underline{\text { A26 }} \end{aligned}$ | 40726 | $\underline{2205}$ | 32 | $\underline{0}$ |
| $\frac{5-A 26 \text { East of }}{\text { A25 }}$ | $\underline{29199}$ | 1257 | 19 | $\underline{0}$ |

### 6.8.Decommissioning traffic generation

### 6.18.1 Onshore decommissioning

## Onshore cable

6.1.18.1.1 It is anticipated that the onshore electrical cables will be left in-situ with ends cut, sealed and buried to minimise environmental effects associated with removal.
6.1.28.1.2 The only onshore element of the Proposed Development that will require to be removed in the decommissioning phase is the onshore substation and therefore only a small part of Study Area 1 will be affected by decommissioning traffic.

## Onshore substation

6.1.38.1.3 The onshore substation may be used as a substation site after decommissioning of the Proposed Development or it may be upgraded for use by another offshore wind project. This will be subject to a separate planning application.
6.1.18.1.4 Should the onshore substation need to be decommissioned fully, however, the decommissioning works are likely to be undertaken in reverse to the sequence of construction works and involve similar levels of equipment. All relevant sites will be restored to their original states or made suitable for an alternative use.
8.1.5 The decommissioning duration of the onshore infrastructure may take a comparable amount of time as construction of the Proposed Development, up to four years, although this indicative timing may reduce.
6.1.58.1.6 The calculations indicate that the peak week of the construction of the onshore substation will result in a peak traffic week that comprises:

- 76 two-way HGV movements during temporary compound construction;
- 36 two-way LGV movements during temporary compound construction;
- 2 onshore substation two-way HGV movements;
- 120 onshore substation two-way HGV movements; and
- Total two-way vehicle movements: 196 HGV and 38 LGV per week
8.1.7 This will result in the following daily traffic which haves informed the assessment in Chapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].
- 39 two-way HGVs; and
- 8 two-way LGVs.
6.1.88.1.8 Distribution of this traffic during the decommissioning phase has been based on the same distribution patterns as the construction phase as set out in Table 6-2 and Table 23-27 Table 23-26 and Table 23-27 of the ESChapter 23: Transport, Volume 2 of the ES [APP-064].:
6.1.78.1.9 It is considered that the decommissioning of the onshore substation will require the same levels of traffic generation as the construction phase and therefore the peak construction traffic generation of the construction phase will be used as a basis for assessment.
8.1.10 Therefore, uUsing_Oakendene onshore substation as the basis search area as a location for assessment and the traffic distribution used for construction. lit is considered that only one-Hhighways link 27 located on the A272, West of A23 within Study Area 1 will require assessment for the decommissioning phase , given the logical HGV routes from the SRN.:-
6.1.8- Highways Link 27, due to the logical routes to the SRN from the onshore substation and anticipated traffic distribution.
6.1.98.1.11 Unlike the assessment for the construction phase, Highways link (27) that requires assessment would only have to accommodate traffic associated with the onshore substation decommissioning and not the traffic associated with the onshore cable decommissioning as the onshore cable will be left in situ. As such traffic at this highways link will be less than set out in the assessment for the construction phase at these two links as the additional cable related traffic in the construction phase would not be present in the decommissioning phase. An assessment has still been provided for robustness due to the different future year for the decommissioning phase.
6.1.108.1.12 Table 8-1 shows the anticipated traffic impact during the decommissioning stage, which is de minimis.

Table 8-1 Onshore substation decommissioning traffic percentage impact per highways link

| $\frac{\text { Highway Link }}{\text { No }}$ | Future Year Base Traffic (2051) |  | Peak Week Staff Traffic (per <br> day) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total vehicles | HGVs | Total vehicles | HGVs |
| $\mathbf{2 7}-\mathrm{A} 272_{3}$ <br> West of A23 | 21414 | 1069 | 156 | 10948 |

## Offshore

8.1.13 The offshore decommissioning phase has been scoped out of further assessment as it is proposed that only above several elements of the Proposed Development are removed such as the offshore substations and WTGs. As such, the onshore traffic generation is expected to be significantly lower than the construction phase.
6.1.118.1.14 As with the construction phase, the works required to decommission the offshore elements of the Proposed Development are likely to be undertaken from a few ports across Europe and the UK, and as such the impacts on UK roads will be
mitigated by this construction strategy. Based on the above, the offshore decommissioning phase has been scoped out of the assessment.

### 7.9.Glossary of terms and abbreviations

## Term (Acronym) Definition

| AADF | Annual average weekday flow |
| :--- | :--- |
| AAWT | Annual average weekday traffic |
| CBS | cement bound sand |
| CMB | construction management base |
| FOC | Fibre Optic Cable |
| ha | hectare |
| HDD | herizontal directional drill |
| HGV |  |

HVAC High Voltage Alternating Current
ID identification
JB joint bay
kg kilogram

| Km | kilometre |
| :--- | :--- |
| KV | kilovolt |
| LGV | Light Goods Vehicle |
| $\mathbf{m}$ | metres |
| $\mathbf{m m}$ | millimetres |
| Preliminary <br> Environmental <br> Information <br> Report (PEIR) | The written output of the Preliminary Environmental Impact <br> Assessment undertaken for the Proposed Development. It was <br> developed to support Statutory Consultation and presented the <br> preliminary findings of the assessment to allow an informed view |

## Term (Acronym) Definition

to be developed of the Proposed Development, the assessment approach that was undertaken, and the preliminary conclusions on the likely significant effects of the Proposed Development and environmental measures proposed.

TCC
temporary construction compound
TJB
transition joint bay
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## Annex A Traffic calculations









| Receptor | A(76) | B(76) | C(64) | D(68) | E(41) | F(27) | G(23) | H(21) | $1(23)$ | J(26) | K(30) | L(69) | M(58) | N(51) | O(16) | P(15) | Q(7) | R(2) | s(3) | T(3) | U(42) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 | 4 | 4 | 63 | 14 | 66 | 87 | 28 | 1 | 28 | 29 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 0 | 46 | 74 | 105 | 134 | 18 | 18 | 10 |
| 149 | 5 | 5 | 96 | 21 | 97 | 118 | 43 | 2 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 52 | 29 | 0 | 68 | 69 | 67 | 95 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| 150 | 5 | 5 | 111 | 21 | 108 | 153 | 39 | 2 | 39 | 43 | 0 | 52 | 18 | 0 | 68 | 79 | 47 | 69 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| 151 | 5 | 5 | 103 | 21 | 101 | 143 | 36 | 2 | 36 | 41 | 0 | 56 | 17 | 0 | 66 | 86 | 46 | 69 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 152 | 3 | 3 | 82 | 13 | 83 | 135 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 55 | 0 | 26 | 27 | 0 | 44 | 66 | 22 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 153 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 154 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 155 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 156 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 15 | 6 | 6 | 102 | 23 | 107 | 127 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 46 | 52 | 0 | 75 | 155 | 251 | 159 | 6 | 6 | 17 |
| 158 | 8 | 8 | 140 | 30 | 195 | 225 | 116 | 3 | 116 | 62 | 0 | 160 | 181 | 0 | 96 | 245 | 288 | 334 | 3 | 3 | 23 |
| 159 | 6 | 6 | 87 | 21 | 136 | 144 | 90 | 2 | 90 | 43 | 0 | 143 | 154 | 0 | 71 | 209 | 266 | 327 | 6 | 6 | 16 |
| 160 | 6 | 6 | 126 | 21 | 123 | 194 | 39 | 2 | 39 | 43 | 0 | 130 | 18 | 0 | 71 | 145 | 108 | 126 | 3 | 3 | 16 |
| 161 | 5 | 5 | 121 | 21 | 118 | 189 | 36 | 2 | 36 | 41 | 0 | 129 | 17 | 0 | 70 | 405 | 278 | 119 | 3 | 3 | 15 |
| 162 | 4 | 4 | 130 | 13 | 130 | 258 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 31 | 0 | 26 | 253 | 0 | 47 | 502 | 342 | 119 | 3 | 3 |  |
| 163 | 4 | 4 | 101 | 13 | 101 | 183 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 201 | 0 | 26 | 253 | 0 | 47 | 466 | 161 | 75 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| 164 | 4 | 4 | 54 | 13 | 91 | 96 | 61 | 1 | 61 | 31 | 0 | 26 | 107 | 0 | 47 | 384 | 57 | 58 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 165 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 54 | 60 | 44 | 1 | 44 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 98 | 0 | 22 | 316 | 54 | 58 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 166 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 16 | 23 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 280 | 54 | 58 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 16 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 168 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 16 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 169 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 16 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 170 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 171 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 172 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 173 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 174 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 175 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 176 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 178 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 179 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 180 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 181 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 182 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 183 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 184 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 185 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 186 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 187 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 188 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 189 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 190 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 191 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 192 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 193 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 194 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 195 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 196 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 197 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 198 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 199 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 200 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 201 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 202 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 203 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 204 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| AAWT (divided by 5 working ${ }^{\text {days) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recepto <br> Year 1 (VVeeks $0-4$ ) | A(76) | B(76) | C(64) | $\mathrm{D}(68)$ | E(41) | $\mathrm{F}_{4}(27)$ | G(23) | H(2) | 1 (23) | $1(26)$ | K(30) | L(6) | M(58) | N(51) | $0{ }_{5} 16$ | P(15) | OM | R(2) | S(3) | T(3) | U(42) |
| Year 2 (Weeds 53-99) | 5 | 5 | 87 | 18 | 85 | 105 | 36 | 2 | 36 | 34 | 0 | 39 | 21 | 0 | 67 | 60 | 70 | 69 | 3 | 3 | 14 |
| Year 3 Weeds 105-152 | 2 | 2 | 48 | 9 | 48 | 65 | 19 | 1 | 19 | 23 | 0 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 29 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Year 4 (Weeds 157-204 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AADT(divided by 7 days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| receppor ${ }^{\text {Year }}$ (Weers $0-4 / 1$ | A(76) | B(7) | C(64) | D(68) | E(41) | F(27) | G(23) | H(21) | $1(23)$ | $1(26)$ | K(30) | L(6) | M(58) | N(51) | $0(16)$ | $\mathrm{P}(15)$ | Q(7) | ${ }_{\text {R }}^{\text {R }}$ (2) | $5(3)$ | T(3) | $0(42)$ |
| Year 2 (Weeds 53-99) | 3 | 3 | 62 | 13 | 61 | 75 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 0 | 48 | 43 | 50 | 49 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| Year 3 (Weeds 105-152 | 2 | 2 | 34 | 7 | 34 | 47 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Year 4 (Weeds 157-204 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 |





| Receptor | A(76) | B(76) | C(64) | D(68) | E(41) | F(27) | G(23) | H(21) | $1(23)$ | J (26) | K(30) | L(69) | M(58) | N(51) | O(16) | P(15) | Q(7) | R(2) | S(3) | T(3) | U(42) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 118 | 281 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 116 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 118 | 281 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 117 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 116 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 118 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 119 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 120 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 121 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 122 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 92 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 123 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 92 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 124 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 0 | 193 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 125 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 162 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 126 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 127 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 128 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 129 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 130 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 131 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 132 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 77 | 172 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 133 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 77 | 172 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 134 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 53 | 109 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 135 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 53 | 109 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 136 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 53 | 109 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 137 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 53 | 109 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 138 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 139 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 140 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 141 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 142 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 143 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 144 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 145 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 146 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 147 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 148 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 56 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 149 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 30 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 150 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 151 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 152 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 175 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 158 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 78 | 110 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 99 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 224 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 159 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 57 | 65 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 99 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 205 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 160 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 49 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| 161 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 194 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| 162 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 456 | 260 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 163 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 164 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 49 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 165 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 49 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 166 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 168 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 169 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAWT (divided by 5 working days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $A(76)$ | B(76) | C(64) | D(68) | E(41) | F(27) | G(23) | H(21) | $1(23)$ | $1(26)$ | K(30) | L(69) | M(58) | N(51) | O(16) | P(15) | Q ${ }_{6}(7)$ | R(2) | 5(3) | T(3) | U(42) |
| Year 2 (Weeks 53-99) | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 35 | 29 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Year 3 (Weeks 105-15 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Year 4 (Weeks 157-20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| AADT (divided by 7 days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%eceptor | $A(76)$ | B(76) | C(64) | D(68) | E(41) | F(27) | G(23) | H(21) | $1(23)$ | $1(26)$ | K(30) | L(69) | M(58) | N(51) | O(16) | P(15) | Q(7) | R(2) | S(3) | T(3) | U(42) |
| Year 2 (Weeks 53-99) | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Year 3 (Weeds 105-15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Year 4 (Weeks 157-20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 70 \mathrm{mph}$ for cars and motorcycles, 60 mph for all other vehicles

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ HDD is a continuous activity and cannot be paused once started.

[^2]:    4.4.35.3.3 The temporary construction access points are required along the onshore cable corridor to allow the transportation of materials, equipment, and personnel to and from the construction sites. These temporary construction access points will allow access to the construction corridor where there will be a temporary construction haul road running along the length of the onshore cable route, except for locations where there are trenchless or road crossings. These accesses may be utilised in different ways, due to safety and efficiency, for example traffic may enter and exit via different accesses whilst making deliveries.

